

*Order Paper Questions*

7. Is the Institute being funded by the US Hudson Institute, the CIA or any other US intelligence agency and, if so, by which body and how much?

Return tabled.

TRIPS MADE OUTSIDE OTTAWA BY MR. MICHAEL PITFIELD  
SINCE APRIL 20, 1968

Question No. 447—**Mr. Cossitt:**

What is the complete list of all trips made outside Ottawa since April 20, 1968 by Mr. Michael Pitfield, in whole or in any part at taxpayers' expense, including dates, all details of transportation, the exact purpose, the specific places visited and for how long and the names of others on the same trips?

Return tabled.

MINISTRY OF STATE FOR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY—  
PUBLICITY EXPENDITURES

Question No. 570—**Mr. Symes:**

1. What were the amounts of money spent by the Ministry of State for Science and Technology on publicity and/or information in each of the fiscal years 1972-73 and 1973-74?

2. What were the names and addresses of firms and individuals who received these contracts, what amounts of money were spent in each case and what was the purpose of each contract?

3. In the case of expenditures for publicity and/or information made within the Department by its publicity or information division, what was the amount in each case and the purpose of the expenditure?

Return tabled.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT SURVEYS

Question No. 576—**Mr. MacKay:**

1. How many reports, studies or surveys are currently under review by the Department of Transport?

2. What are the titles of each of these documents?

3. How long have each of these documents been under review by the Department?

4. How many of these papers are available to the public?

5. What was the cost of preparation of each of these documents?

6. Is there a tentative release date for each of these documents and, if so, on what date?

Return tabled.

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT—TRAVEL EXPENSES

Question No. 709—**Mr. Stevens:**

1. In each fiscal year ending March 31, 1972, 1973 and 1974, and for the six month period ending September 30, 1974, what was the aggregate expenditure by the Post Office Department for travel abroad by (a) the Postmaster General and his immediate staff (b) departmental staff (c) the staff of boards, commissions, tribunals, Crown corporations or other similar agencies reporting to the Minister (d) others whose expenses were paid in part or in whole directly or indirectly by the government?

2. In the case of an expenditure in excess of \$200 (a) what was the purpose of the foreign trip (b) what was the furthest destination (c) what is the name of the person(s) who took the trip (d) how long was the person(s) outside the country (e) what was the nature of the expenditure (f) how many others were in the party making the trip?

Return tabled.

[Mr. Leggatt.]

CABLE TELEVISION

Question No. 781—**Mr. McCleave:**

Has the Canadian Radio-Television Commission a policy to enable Canadians in less populous areas to receive cable television and, if so, what is it?

Return tabled.

LIP—AMOUNT AVAILABLE TO EACH CONSTITUENCY

Question No. 1,185—**Mr. Korchinski:**

What is the amount available to each constituency under LIP?

Return tabled.

## ORAL QUESTION PERIOD

[English]

ENERGY

OIL—REQUEST FOR EXPLANATION OF "SURPLUS POSITION IN 1980'S"

**Hon. Robert L. Stanfield (Leader of the Opposition):** Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources. The minister said, on Friday, and I quote:

The critical question is to assure security of supply in the period between now and 1985 when we anticipate being back in a surplus position.

Did the minister mean being back in a surplus position for anything more than that part of Canada supplied by Canadian petroleum, plus 250,000 barrels a day for the Montreal market? I ask this question in view of the minister's statement that the National Energy Board has recommended that in order to be assured at the end of the period they have discussed, up until the mid-1980's, that we would have enough oil to meet demands from that part of the Canadian market now served by Canadian oil, plus 250,000 barrels a day to Montreal, we should greatly scale down the amount of our exports.

**Hon. Donald S. Macdonald (Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources):** The figure to which I referred related, of course, to that sector of Canada now served by the indigenous product, plus the additional 250,000 barrels a day into Montreal. One cannot be specific as to the surplus position at that time but if it is beyond that particular level we shall, of course, be very pleased.

**Mr. Stanfield:** In view of the fact that the National Energy Board projects demand in eastern Canada, that is the part of Canada not served by Canadian petroleum today, as in excess of 1,300,000 barrels a day by 1985, does the minister consider we are therefore in a surplus position in Canada—does he describe our position as surplus when we might in fact be a net importer of as much as a million barrels a day and be running a balance of payments deficit as a result of this fact alone, amounting to some \$4 billion a year? Does the minister think it appropriate to describe that as a surplus position?