

its observations and opinions thereon to the House of Commons in obedience to the order of reference of the said house dated June 27, 1963.

All those in favour of the motion will please indicate by raising one hand.

Mr. CAMERON (*Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands*): Is there an implication in that, Mr. Chairman?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: You may lower your hands. All those contrary minded will indicate in the same fashion.

Mr. NUGENT: Just say all those opposed. Never mind the contrary minded.

The CHAIRMAN: I stand corrected. I declare the motion carried on division, by 17 to 5.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. AIKEN: I have a motion to make, if there are no others from the floor. Mr. Cameron made a motion, and Mr. Gray made a motion, I assume they were similar to what has already been made.

The CHAIRMAN: The motion just put was that this committee forthwith resume its examination of and inquiry in connection with Bill C-5. It is for an examination anyway into Bill C-5, and I presume we are still on Bill C-5. However it is now 25 minutes to 11. Ten minutes ago I suggested to the two witnesses who would have testified at this time, that they might leave because it was so close to eleven o'clock.

I think in the light of this, if there are no other motions in this connection, we might now discuss procedure from here on in, let us say, for the meeting next Friday, just in case you want to change the method we have been following.

Mr. AIKEN: My motion will be exactly on this point. I think the discussion which will come from it may terminate it. My motion will merely be—I have not phrased it yet—that the committee discontinue further discussion of Bill C-5, and that it report to the house that it not be further continued. That perhaps would bring the discussion to a close. What I am concerned about is still the effect of the citation that was given, and I am still confused about how long the committee, against its will, or against the will of the majority, can be dragged along with these hearings. I think we should be honest with ourselves and say that the bill is a good idea, and that some people have to be protected, but that this bill is not the way to do it, and that if it be carried, it will be much more damaging to these people whom it is the general intention to protect than it would be valuable. That is the opinion I have.

The CHAIRMAN: Do you want to make a motion to the effect—I think you would have to make a motion, to be in order—that the committee report to the house that the bill no longer be proceeded with?

Mr. AIKEN: May I ask Dr. Ollivier if the appropriate wording of such a motion should include "the preamble not proven"?

Mr. OLLIVIER: No, because that is a public bill. But I think your motion would be in order if you moved that the bill be not further proceeded with, and that it be reported to the house.

Mr. AIKEN: I make such a motion that we report to the house that the bill be not further proceeded with.

The CHAIRMAN: Do you have a seconder?

Mr. NUGENT: I second the motion.

The CHAIRMAN: Normally these motions should be in writing.