

NETHERLANDS

AN ATTEMPT TO VERIFY NON-PRODUCTION IN A CHEMICAL PLANT

INTRODUCTION

As explained in CD/924 (CD/CW/WP.251) we decided that, for the purpose of our trial inspection, it would be useful to make a clear distinction between the verification of non-misuse of a declared chemical (CD/881, annex [2] of article VI, p. 75 4 (ii) and (iii)) and the verification of non-production of Schedule [1] compounds (p. 75 4 (i)). The first part of our trial inspection was reported in CD/924 (CD/CW/WP.251). The present paper contains the report on the second part of our trial inspection.

Important differences between the two parts of our trial inspection are the following:

	<u>CD/924</u>	<u>CD/925</u>
purpose:	verification of non-misuse of a scheduled chemical	verification of non-misuse of relevant equipment
scope:	one unit	the whole complex
character:	quantitative	qualitative
preparation:	facility attachment	unprepared

In preparing the part of our trial inspection that is described in this paper, we were greatly helped by the concept of ad hoc checks as proposed by the Federal Republic of Germany in CD/791 and CD/869.

It should be stressed that in our trial inspection we did not simulate an unannounced inspection in all aspects, but rather limited ourselves to certain aspects only.