External Affairs Supplementary Paper No. 54/23 Statement by the Vice-Chairman of the Canadian Delegation.

Statement by the Vice-Chairman of the Canadian Delegation, to the United Nations General Assembly, Mr. Paul Martin,

to the United Nations General Assembly, Mr. Paul Martin, made in explanation of the vote on the question of inscribing item 62: Cyprus The Canadian Delegation has given very careful study to the question of whether or not item 62 should be in-scribed in our agenda. We have noted and tried to weigh objectively the conflicting and persuasive arguments sub-mitted by Mr. Selwyn Lloyd on behalf of the United Kingdom Government and by Mr. Kyrou on behalf of the Government

The generally accepted interpretation of the Charter does not, in our judgment, preclude the inscription of the Cyprus question. From the past voting record of the Canadian Delegation, it is clear that we have consistently taken the position that the General Assembly has very wide competence to discuss. Although Canada has always supported in principle the right of discussion of matters of international concern, we have reserved our right to of international concern, we have reserved our success a given time. Nothing in the Charter compels us to agree a given time. Nothing and everything within the Assembly's competence.

For example, in defining the attitude of the Canadian Delegation on the Tunisian question in the First Committee Delegation on the Tunisian question in the First Committee On December 9, 1952, I said that the right of discussion must not be abused, "It must not become the right to slander, the right to incite revolt or rebellion, the slander, the right to incite revolt or movements in a given right to use the forum of the United Nations to give en-right to use the forum of the Jappens to agree. Such an country with whose views one happens to agree. Such an country with whose views one happens to reconsider our position United Nations and we would have to reconsider our position on the question of discussion if it appeared that the United Nations was being weakened and its prestige was being damaged in this way".

In his statement in the general debate yesterday, Mr. Pearson underlined once again the necessity for forming a judgement as to priorities so as to avoid overloading our agenda with items which it is either untimely or futile to try to settle here. But I should like to make it quite to try to settle here. But I should like to make it duite clear that this is a judgement which, in our view, has to be made on the merits of the case and not, insofar as the Cyprus question is concerned, a judgement on the competence Cyprus question is concerned, a judgement on the competence the United Nations.

The Canadian Delegation has come to the conclusion, as a matter of practical judgement on the overall situation and not on grounds of competence, that the inclusion of the item is likely to do more harm than good in Cyprus, in the item is likely to do more harm than good in Cyprus, in the region of Cyprus, and in the United Nations. We shall therefore oppose the incorrection of this item at this time.