Apamea velata, Wlk., C. B. M., Lep. Het., XXXII., 671, 1865.

The type is in unusually good condition, bears Walker's label, and is *Apamea sera*, G. & R., (Tr. A. E. S., I., 345, pl. 7., f. 5). The species must be known in future as *Apamea velata*, Wlk., and *sera* cited as a synonym.

Apamea rubrescens, Wlk., C. B. M., Lep. Het., XXXII., 671, 1865. The type, bearing Walker's label, is in very good condition, and is the species recently described by myself as Taniocampa venata, (Ent. Amer., VI., 123, 1890). The species will be known in future as Taniocampa rubrescens, to which venata, Smith, must be cited as a synonym. I should be delighted to refer all the species described by me as synonyms, could I thereby identify an equal number of the Walker species.

Apamea, n. sp.

A specimen bearing this label, in Mr. Walker's handwriting, is Parastichtis perbellis, Grt.

Caradrina multifera, Wlk.

A specimen named by Walker, but bearing a label in Dr. Bethune's handwriting, is also *Parastichtis perbellis*, Grt. The specimen is not the type, and is an evident misidentification.

Xylina signata, Wlk.

The specimen bearing the label in Mr. Walker's handwriting is Dasylophia interna, Pack., and is not the type.

Heliothis binotata, Wlk.

A specimen of *Plusia aereoides*, Grt., is so labelled, but I cannot find any description of the species.

Heliothis temperata, Wlk.

This label, in Mr. Walker's handwriting, is attached to a specimen of *Plusia aerea*, Hbn.; but as in the case of the preceding, I cannot find that the name has been sanctioned by a description.

Bryophila, sp.

A specimen of Acronycta hamamelis, Gn., is so labelled by Mr. Walker.

Altogether this little lot of insects, while disposing of a few unidentified names, illustrates well the character of Mr. Walker's work. None of these names could have been with any degree of certainty applied from the descriptions to the species really intended, and the generic references are as often misleading as an assistance.