in brakets [1] alone has any change been made. Observ would, would, could, trild, untrilling, separat, therefore, therfor, proord, midt, eaz, easier Of dubl consonants, the rub (with exceptions) apears to be, onit one in unaccented sylable only.—Ed.]

## SCOOL ORTHOGRAFY.

By request, we giv sampl of such sp. as we wud prefer to use if teaching children to read. For that, ther is required something hafway between ordinary orthografy and notation for orthogry. Here it is:

The Ok and the REd.

An Ok hwich stud on the sid ov a bruk woz torn up bi the rüts in a storm, and az the wind tuk it down the strem, its bouz cot on sum Redz hwich grü on the bank. "Hou stranj it iz," sed the Ok, "that such a slit and fral thing az a Red shud fas the blast, hwil mi proud frunt, hwich til nou haz stud lik an Alp, iz torn doun, rut and bransh!" A Red, hwich cot the sound ov thez wurdz, sed, in soft tonz, "If I ma be fre with yu, I think the coz ov it liz in yur prid ov hart. Yu ar stif and hard, and trust in yur on strength, hwil we yeld and bou tu the ruf blast."

For  $\delta$ , th is used; th is for the corresponding voiceles consonant in thin, for which Saxon  $\beta$ , beter Greek  $\theta$ , might be used. D is capital for  $\beta$ ,  $\Theta$  for  $\theta$ . Where ng represents a singl sound, ligatured ng (ng) is used insted of  $\beta$ . When n comes before a k-sound (c, k, g, x), it is sounded  $\beta$ . The child shud be taut this til it givs it without efort. Otherwise, if presend,  $\beta$  may be used before c, k, g, x, as in uncle, ankle, angle, sphinx. The sampl is so like comon speling that no transition stage is needed.

A VERY GUD GERL.

Our mery litl doter
Woz climing out ov bed—
"Dont yu think that i'm a gud gerl?"
Our litl doter sed:
"For ol de long this luvly de,
And ol de long tumoro,
i havnt dun a singl thing
Tu giv my muther soro."

—S' Nicolas.

Gd the doning, tung and pen!
Gd it, hops ov onest men!
Gd it, paper! ad it, tip!
Gd it, for the our is rip
And our ernest must not slakn intu pla:
Men ov thot and men ov acshn, cler
the wa.

—Anon.

KEY: a a a e E i i e o o u u u u unite to abolish the misteading cocca-nut', as in and at the effect iff I or ox no up put ooze and put end to mischivus confusion be-

J, V AND G .-- We wild hardly expect alfabetic improvement as late as 16th cent. Yet, about 1560, Pierre de la Ramee (Ramus) realized the confusion cause by using i as vowel and consonant in words like ialousie, iustice, &c. Also the confusion of using u as vowel and consonant in words like ualour, uengeance, uertue, &c. Consequently, Ramus substituted j for i, wherever i was to be pronounced like an aspirat [?] as in jalousie, justice, &c. He also relaced u by v, wherever u was to be uterd like a labial, as in valeur, venycance, verta, &c. J and v wer calld "Ramist consonants." The this distinction between i and j, u and v, was a decided improvement, Ramus did not liv to see it adopted; for the liberal savant was butcherd in Masacr of S' Bartholomew, 1572. A publisher, Giles Beys, first used j and v in "Commentaire de Mignault sur les epitres d'Horace," Paris, 1584. Next Louis Elzevir, a progresiv Dutch publisher, used Ramist consonants in his publications about 1650, It is to be hoped that in this 19th century wil arise a savant and publishers, who can apreciate the long-felt need of riting and printing Eng. as pronounced. The Greeks gradualy aded leters to the ancient Cadmean 16 leter alfabet, and accents to the leters, as they felt the want therof: and about 240 B. C. the gramarian Carvilius aded G to Roman alfabet, probably to suply the want of a mild gutural. So the Jews introduced vowel points, the French accents, diëresis, cedilla, and the Germans umlant. Hence alfabetic, digrafic and fonetic changes and aditions to harmonize leter and sound ar no novelty, and Englishspeaking populations risk nothing and wil not be calld radical in imitating their ilustrius Hebrew, Greek, Roman, French and German predecesors.—D $^{r}$  Weisse in Eng. Lit. & Lang., N. Y., 1879, p. 359.

Coco-Nut.—The Annals of Botany contains a short articl by Prof. Balfour on the correct speling of this word. Etymology and erly authority alike make "coco-nut" correct. "Cocoa-nut" is merely a relic of ignorance of those who suposed cocoa and chocolat obtaind from the coco-nut. This "ignorance, Madam, pure ignorance!" was unfortunatly shared by Dr Johnson when he prepared his "Dictionary," and tho he afterward lernd otherwise, and in his 'Life of Drake' correctly rote coco, plural cocoes, this was after last edition of 'Dictionary' in his lifetime, so that he had no oportunity to correct his unfortunat and misleading eror. Botanists, however, long continued to use correct form—some hav never ceast to do so. Prof. B. calls on them to unite to abolish the misleading 'cocoa-nut', and put end to mischivus confusion be-

D' ce tre

tw

dis

is

สร

el or n: li: a si

a is Successive Succes

1 1 1