

CASUAL COMMENTS.

An item in Monday's *Mail* illustrates very forcibly the lengths to which the organs of capitalism will go in their efforts to discredit organized labor. It referred to an anticipated strike among the carpenters and railway men, and was evidently manufactured out of whole cloth, as there is no such action contemplated in either body. Doubtless a rumor was heard of some kind, and as likely to call down the disapprobation of the public, the item is written and appears forthwith. The same paper in an editorial attributed the recent disastrous strike in Great Britain and Australia to the New Unionism, and stated that a reason for ordering the Australian strike was the refusal of a captain to discharge his steward, because he would not join a union. This is an unfounded statement, and I cannot understand how the editor of a big morning daily could display, at the best, such lamentable ignorance. If he had taken the pains to ascertain the facts of the case, he would have found that the beginning of the strike was the dismissal of a seaman named Magan from the *S. Corom*, but the fact of its existence was taken advantage of to try and settle another question relating to men under apprenticeship. It was thus extended until it became one of the most gigantic strikes on record, and its failure is said to have struck a death-blow to old Unionism, as I understand it. In attributing this unsuccessful strike to the New Unionism, the *Mail* follows its settled policy, as if it can convince the public that the latest efforts of organized labor, founded presumably upon past experience, are unsuccessful; it will go to that extent; discredit the cause of Labor Its form and retard its progress.

Now, if I know anything about the New Unionism, it is working not in the direction of strike, but the labor has, and that is where victory can be gained. That is what is understood as the New Unionism in Toronto at least, unless I am altogether misinformed; not what the *Mail* would have us believe, and the sooner this kind of unionism supersedes the old, the sooner will the wealth producers and bondsmen of the world obtain that justice which is now denied them. Only by our votes can we achieve lasting reforms, and we should use them at every opportunity.

The socialistic labor party in Chicago is positive in all its pronouncements in this matter,

which we will do well to follow in the near future. They have nominated a straight ticket of their own, and are hard at work preparing for the struggle at the municipal elections, which come off this spring. They may not achieve anything except the disaster; of their strength, but that will be worth the battle, as it is the first step in the right for a better condition of things, and must be taken before any intelligent action is possible. Besides, nothing offers such an opportunity of educating the community as an election contest. We can do nothing until we know our strength, and every trial of it increases if the cause be good. This we know to be, and I feel certain that if all Labor Reformers, Single Taxers, Socialists and Nationalists were to unite in a municipal campaign next winter, a big accession to the ranks would be the result, whether success were achieved or not. There are plenty of points upon which all could agree to make a grand platform, and the fight can not begin too soon, nor the preparation for it. There is no other way to secure an administration in which shall regard the rights of the citizens as primary in importance to those of a few grasping individuals or corporations, but to elect men who are not interested in maintaining the reign of capitalism, and, so far as I know, the organized power of the halo is the only means of doing this which we possess. Organize should be the word from now till January 4, 1892.

After the disappearance of one of the principals in the case, it is probable that the new Chancery Act would have been introduced in the meeting of a joint plenum of the two houses of a joint legislative session. The parties still occupy prominent positions, and but little time is required in all probability to get the bill introduced in the courts and the public before this.

The son of our newspaper daily speaks often of the saddest stories of a child's train which has appeared for many a day, considering it quite a matter of course, that because the parties interdicted were prominent persons the facts should be kept from the public. The account then goes on to give the particulars of the shocking affair. The terrible grief of the aged mother and his wife over the loss of their adopted daughter is vivid upon her as described as having entwined her self around the hearts of her adopted parents, as being beautiful, tenderly reared, highly educated, and yet, as the

story goes on, it tells that "the poor unfortunate girl, with her fatherless baby, was shipped back to England three weeks ago."

Truly she had "entwined herself at their hearts," but the twining is not very noticeable, when, because her betrayer is the son of a prominent Methodist divine, she must be got out of the way, lest perchance there be a scandal among the saints. What matter though the poor girl go to the devil, if the Church is kept immaculate. She was after all only a nameless wif, made what she was by the charity of these godly people, who cut her short, and did it speedily. Let her go upon the seat of temptation, let her be drawn into the vortex of vice, give her a good fair start for the hell they are do-

want to believe in, but at any cost cover the thing up. Do not let it be known that such a thing happened within the walls of the church.

Never mind Christ's teachings, they may teach love for the fallen, they may be, "do to others as you would have them do to you," but the

Church is in danger, and at all costs scandal in it must be prevented. This

may be what some people know as Christianity, but, if it is, let us all be Free Thinkers, Athiests, Agnostics, Buddhists, anything or nothing, so that we do not escape from a religion such as this.

It makes not if the poor girl were sent to hell, her refuge, as she may have been;

for aught I know, the fact remains that all concerned washed their hands of her with much less feeling than if an illustrious died had been the subject for consideration.

Now, just imagine these things to have happened among some notorious dunces, or even mortal living free-thinkers, what material for forcible disclosure the facts would have furnished, how they would have been used to point out the dangers of a life of worldliness, but because it is one of our young men, over him up for Heaven's sake, let the finger be pointed at the holy and immaculate Church, which is our God. Can they not see that these things always come out, and that when they do, the very efforts made at concealment work ten fold the harm they were planned to avert. I am a church member myself, but I am foolish enough to believe that Christ's teachings and example are infinitely higher than any organization, be it ever so holy in our

eyes.

Era. I may appear to have made more of this than the known facts warrant, but I happen to know a little more about the strenuous efforts which have been made

to keep the story out of the papers than appears in the only account furnished the public. How well these efforts have succeeded is best shown by the fact that only one paper has dared to make it public, and even it did not give the names. This is an indication of the influence which a large and thoroughly respectable body, such as the Methodist Church, is able to exert over the press of the city.

THE TAXATION OF LAND.

Mr. Penruddock's motion, calling attention to the unequal share of the public taxes which falls upon land, is one of the most important subjects brought before the House of Commons this session. It is true that the tax on land forms but an abstract resolution, but the facts to be considered during the discussion are highly instructive to the laboring classes.

Mr. Penruddock, in his speech, made

as follows:

"The sum of £125 millions of revenue

was raised in this year, as follows:

Taxes on land, five and a half millions;

land taxes, one million; tobacco, one million; stamp duty, one million;

local taxation, fifty thousand pounds;

and so on. The total amount of revenue

is £125 millions.

This last item deserves close attention. It is the result of the tax which was substituted for the Poor Law Amendment Act, which was passed by the last session, but failed to become law, the entire expense of Government, local and national.

A House of Commons mainly composed

of landed aristocrats, and elected by no method but hereditary succession, and not by the State's natural selection, and now made the planter to a class, the very class by which the confection was made. It was agreed, however, that a tax of four shillings per acre should be laid by the Poor Law Commission, and that it should be levied by authority of Royal Assent.

The valuation of the land to be levied upon

was to be determined by a committee, and as a result of this careful process for land-tax collecting, the landowners of Great Britain are paying their land-tax to-day at a valuation over one hundred and fifty million pounds.

This is why the sum of one million

represents the amount of national taxation which falls on landed property each year.

The land tax was never upon present value, but upon a fixed quantity to be paid.

It is the sum which would be paid to the national treasury if the national

treasury would be twenty-four and forty million pounds a year, instead of the fifteen, which is now paid.

The total rent received derived by land

holders from the land each year, including

ground rents and royalties, is difficult, if

not impossible, to ascertain accurately.

But it is generally computed at from £300,

000,000 to £300,000,000. The vast major-

ity is not the result of landed property, and great original. It is the result of the ownership of the industrial command.

If every landed interest Britain emigrated to the antipodes and remained there forever, the economic value of the land would go with them, and the wealth of the nation, and therefore the wealth of the property. The influence which they, as a class, exercise upon the production of the wealth is about equal to that which they exercise upon the growth of the food supply, and the welfare of the nation. The labor of the masses, the social institutions of the people and the general progress of the arts and sciences, are applied to the production of wealth, and the chief responsible for the wealth which the nation produces is a small and a useless class are taking year by year from the nation.

The entire taxation, local and general, should be leveled upon land values. These are the only taxes which are not shared by the whole population. They are, therefore, the one legitimate subject for an annual revenue. They grow from the inalienable patrimony of the State. Every man's house, food, or clothing, or earnings would suffer from the appropriate of land values for fiscal purposes. On the contrary, every tax now levied upon the land could be abolished if the land of the occupier were taxed instead. The source from which the State should derive the means with which to carry on the duties of Government. It would be an act of restitution, and not of robbery.

—London Labor World.

SCIENTIFIC FARMING.

I was yesterday at the farm of old Farmer Brown.

He has turned round his plough at the fence,

and is going to set out a row on a farm.

Agricultural knowledge is a science,

but all the dead blood is as ever I see.

Farmer Brown is a scientist and a hedge.

Their city professors they're not the best,

At the Agricultural College.

"Why, what do you think, Squire, I met our

last night?"

At the corner, a trifling boy,

had stopped him in Farmer Brown's field.

"What are you goin' to do with that critter?"

At the animal wiggles and shoves,

G'day mate, he says, ma, to a pigeon

Tom, for to ride turtle-dove."

Now all the infamy reported! — Lang

it in the daily charmed critics.

It makes no end, if I stay here and talk.

I shall swear at them every minute.

D. T.

PENTHOOT ON LYNCH LAW.

Concerning the American mob, suppose a court of law had condemned to death "only against the evidence, a number of working workmen, and a mob of workmen had deliberated their fellow from the jail, would the police have been compelled to let them loose?"

"Would the jail keeper have

simply given up their keys?" Would the press of the country have spoken of the mob in respectful terms?

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

When a court decides against the poor, we are told that we should respect the decision, and not the conduct of the court, which makes a mistake. But when the court decides contrary to the wishes of the ruling class, even if the decision is strictly in accord with the evidence, mob violence is justified and praised.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.

It makes no difference in the world whether the mob is let loose by locking

it up, or by releasing it.