

signatories. This proposal received some support from non-aligned members of the ENDC but was not discussed at length. Its non-discriminatory approach to the application of IAEA safeguards attracted support from non-nuclear members but was certainly unpalatable to the Soviet Co-chairman and to the Euratom countries.

In the weeks following the tabling of the Draft NPT, all Committee members commented on it. Many proposed amendments or additions to the Draft Treaty. The Mexican delegation, after extensive consultation with the other non-aligned delegations, submitted four amendments. They were: an amendment to Article IV according to which technologically-advanced states would have the duty to contribute to the further development of applications of nuclear energy for peaceful purposes in the territories of non-nuclear-weapon states; an additional article to ensure that non-nuclear-weapon states would receive any potential benefits from any peaceful applications of nuclear explosions; an additional article concerning nuclear-free zones; and an additional article obliging the nuclear-weapon states to pursue negotiations on further measures of disarmament.

Other Amendment Proposals

The delegate of the United Arab Republic proposed some changes to Articles I and II to block what he considered to be loopholes and a new article on security assurances for non-nuclear-weapon states party to the Treaty. The Italian representative proposed that the Treaty have a fixed duration rather than an unlimited one. The Nigerian delegation proposed a number of amendments and additions, notably provisions to secure full dissemination to non-nuclear-weapon states of information accruing from research on explosive devices; for the transmission, by the advanced states to the others, of scientific and technological information on the peaceful uses of nuclear energy and on the peaceful applications of nuclear explosive devices; and for the training in nuclear-weapon states of nuclear scientists from non-nuclear-weapon states.

Brazil and Romania also tabled amendments and additions to the Draft Treaty. Beside a long series of amendments to the preamble, the Romanians proposed new articles on security guarantees and on the creation of a link between the NPT and the cessation of the nuclear arms race by the two super-powers, as well as an amendment to Article V which would make amendments to the Treaty applicable only to the states ratifying these amendments. For its part, Brazil introduced amendments to Articles I and II designed to allow transfer and acquisition of nuclear explosive devices to be used solely for peaceful purposes and an amendment to Article IV designed to state clearly the right of Treaty signatories to develop peaceful nuclear explosive devices and consequential amendments affecting a number of other articles. Among the other Brazilian proposals was a new article on further disarmament measures.

In addition to these suggestions, the British representative proposed that