the people of New Brunswick, sir, are they so far behind the age as not to understand how to manage their own affairs? We went down to ask them to assist us to get out of our difficulties, though this object was, at first, somewhat disguised. When they began to realize that it was to save us that the Conference took place, and was not organized for the purpose of benefiting them, the people of that province, if not the Government, refused to recognise and support the proceedings of the Conference. Now, if we had not the fact of the Lower Provinces having exercised their rights and privileges, we should have no chance whatever to appeal to precedents. And if the loyal people and governments of the Lower Provinces - people who are one day declared to be loyal, and the next annexationists and under American influence, according to their being for or against this scheme-if they do not know what the their rights; or in what manner to deal with this project, I think we had better theh them. I cannot help, however, feeling the conviction, after the character given of them by the Hou. Minister of Agriculture, that it is just as well we have got rid if them. (Laughter.) But if, on the contrary, they are an intelligent people, and posses an intelligent Government, and that Covernment has adopted the course of referring that measure to the people, how can it be improper for us to advocate the same thing in Canada? Who are the hon, gentlemen that arrogate to themselves the right, or telling us that we cannot exercise our privileges in this House, in voting in such a manner as we think best and most conducive to the interests of the people whom we represent? Those honorable gentlemen, tell us that the motion of the hop, member for Peel is a most extraordinary one, in the face of the fact that the majority of these same gentlemen were voted out of office by this House only a short time ago, and that since then no appeal has been made to the people. would be nothing very strange if they were The Hon. (Hear, hear) voted out again. Attorney General fold the hon, member for Peel, though not in so many words, that he did not know what he was about; acd in the course of the same speech remarked that if he wanted an argumentative clear-headed, methodical and able speech, he could not have chosen a better one than that delivered by the hon, member for Peel. Now, I think that that is one of the coolest remarks I have ever heard from an attorney general in this

House. He rejoiced that the speech bore so strongly in opposition to the views of this side of the House, and then states that the hon. gentleman did not really know the effect of If the hon, member for his own motion. Peel thinks that is flattering, then, I must say that he is easily satisfied. The hon. A gentleman, however, I think, made out a very good case in favor of his amendment. His language may not be such as we have frequently heard in this House, during the past few days; but it is such as we generally hear on the hustings. When honorable gentlemen appear there previous to their election, they have no hesitation in saying that, if elected, they will go to Parliament for the purpose of carrying out the wishes of their constituents. I am sure my hon friend from Peel has often, and warmly denounced the invasion of the rights of the Church of England; the Clergy Reserves were being secularized, and I well remember that a motion was made in this House to the effect that before that measure should become law, it ought to be referred to the people; though that was a measure that only concerned our own internal affairs, we did not hear, at that time; a word about the unconstitutionality of referring it to the The Honorable President of the people. Council has often declared that no government should be supported which did not pledge itself to bring in a measure for granting representation according to population; but it is infinitely worse to support a government which proposes to take away our Constitution, and at the same time deprive the people of having a voice in reference to it. My view of one of the duties of a representative is this: when a man goes voluntarily before the people, and tells them that he will vote against such and such measures, and then comes here and votes in the contrary direction, it is his duty to resign; for no man of spirit would stand up in this House after violating the promises he had made to the people. My hon. friend the Hon. Attorney General West says it is not constitutional to take a vote in the way proposed by the honorable member for North Ontario. Sir, I am as anxious to maintain the Constitution of this country as any one can be; but when a motion of this nature comes up, I care not how the vote is to be taken, it? shall have my support. The hon, gentleman has violated the British Constitution in bringing in this measure, and as he has