PAGE
ng
ne
he
re,
ng
ge,
not
of

vith an wledge is to be he were cipal is y. If a that the t off the e goods. he manaoff of his 314 the value vas on the ngine and having got e evidence plaintiff to

PAC	GE
recover. 2. That where the 4and adjoining the railway is unoccupied, the company is not bound to erect fences at that part of their line. McFie v. Canadian Pacific Railway Company	6
REAL PROPERTY ACT OF 1885.—Held. 1. By section 28 lands "when alienated" by the Crown, "shall be subject to the provisions	
of this Act." The word "alienated" means completely alienated—that is by patent. 2. Lands unalienated, by patent, on the 1st July, 1885, remain under the old law until brought under the provisions of	
the Act. 3. Lands brought under the Act become chattels real for the purpose of devolution at death, but are lands in other respects, and are not excitable under f. for goods. 4. A person entitled to a patent for	
a homestead, or pre-emption, having received a certificate of recom-	
Lands, may bring such lands under the operation of the "Real Property Act, 1885,"—Taylor, J, diss. 5. After application under the Act no deed can be registered in the country registry offices. 6. Con-	
veyances of lands patented after the 1st July, 1885, in the statutory	
short form may be treated as substantially in conformity with the forms	361
Executors.—Held. Before executors can apply for registration as owners of the testator's land they must prove the will in the Surrogate Court. Re Bannerman	377
REGISTRY ACT. See REAL PROPERTY ACT.	
REGISTRY ACT. See REAL PROTEST THE Plaintiffs sold goods to	
SATISFACTION—By subsequent contract.—Plaintiffs sold goods to defendant, to be shipped upon a particular day. They were not shipped until afterwards. The defendant then wrote to the plaintiffs refusing to accept the goods unless upon extended terms of credit, to which the plaintiffs assented, and the defendant then accepted the goods. Held, that the defendant had waived any right to damages under the first contract, the second being a satisfaction of the breach and there being therefore no defence the jury notice should be struck out. Coristine v. Menzies	84
SECURITY FOR COSTS.—Held. That a defendant has no right to security for costs, unless he has a defence on the merits. The Western Electric Light Company v. McKenzie	51
Nominal plaintiff.—A plaintiff having assigned	
fact, to security for costs, if he move promptly, notwithstanding that he may, by delay, be disentitled upon other grounds. Vivian v .	
SCHOOL TRUSTEES—Action against by teacher.—The first count of the declaration set out that in consideration that plaintiff would enter into the service of defendants and serve them for one year in	
the capacity of school-teacher, at \$300 a year, to be paid, &c., and lodgings, suel and light to be furnished, &c., the defendants promised	
VOL. 11.—M. L. R. B	