

SEQUEL TO A STRANGE EXPERIENCE.

do the rest. He concluded by moving a vote of thanks to Dr. Adams for his address, making a graceful acknowledgment of the valuable service he has rendered in connection with the subject under discussion in the past twenty-five years.

The motion was seconded by Dr. Beacock and carried by a standing vote.

Another encouraging circumstance has, in the meantime, transpired, showing that one of the physicians of the school board has awakened to the danger that I have so often pointed out during the past years, viz., the danger from promiscuous use of the drinking cup. The following quotations from the *Globe* will show the difference between the way in which the good doctor and myself have been working. For years past, as the public are aware, I have been grubbing away at the *roots* of this evil tree, trying to pluck it up root and branch; while he at last (with the aid of our jovial friend, Jos. Tait, Ex-M.P.P., and others) has climbed up into the top of the tree and is trying to clip away the less offensive *twigs*.

During one of the sessions of the Methodist Conference last June the subject of INDIVIDUAL COMMUNION CUPS was brought up for discussion when Mr. Warring Kennedy moved a resolution against the adoption of separate cups at the communion. Mr. Jos. Tait, Ex-M.P.P., Dr. Watson and Dr. W. W. Ogden, strongly opposed the resolution:

"AN EMPHATIC SPEECH.

"Very emphatic were the words in which Dr. W. W. Ogden opposed the resolution. He spoke the voice of the medical profession, he said; there was no doubt in the medical mind that the old method of taking the sacrament was a danger to society and an abomination. He fearlessly asserted, and had ample evidence to back his assertion, that the opinion of the profession was that receiving the cup in that promiscuous way was a diabol-