

Supply—Public Works

Bonnier	Leduc
Bourget	Lefrancois
Bourque	Leger
Bradley	Lesage
Breton	Little
Brisson	MacNaught
Bruneau	McCann
Cameron	McCubbin
Carter	McCulloch
Cauchon	McDonald (Parry Sound- Muskoka)
Cavers	McMillan
Chevrier	McWilliam
Claxton	Major
Corry	Napierville)
Cote (Matapedia-Matane)	Crestohl
Cote (St. Jean-Iberville- Napierville)	Dechene
Crestohl	Denis
Dechene	Deslieres
Denis	Deslieres
Deslieres	Dickey
Dickey	Dupuis
Dupuis	Follwell
Follwell	Fournier (Hull)
Fournier (Hull)	Fulford
Fulford	Garson
Garson	Gauthier (Portneuf)
Gauthier (Portneuf)	Gauthier (Sudbury)
Gauthier (Sudbury)	George
George	Gingras
Gingras	Gour (Russell)
Gour (Russell)	Gour (Chapleau)
Gour (Chapleau)	Harris
Harris	Helme
Helme	Henderson
Henderson	Hosking
Hosking	Howe
Howe	Hunter
Hunter	James
James	Jeffery
Jeffery	Jutras
Jutras	Kent
Kent	Kirk (Digby-Yarmouth)
Kirk (Digby-Yarmouth)	Lafontaine
Lafontaine	Langlois (Berthier- Maskinonge)
Langlois (Berthier- Maskinonge)	Langlois (Gaspé)
Langlois (Gaspé)	Lapointe
Lapointe	Leduc
	Lefrancois
	Leger
	Lesage
	Little
	MacNaught
	McCann
	McCubbin
	McCulloch
	McDonald (Parry Sound- Muskoka)
	McMillan
	McWilliam
	Major
	Maltais
	Martin
	Masse
	Monette
	Murray (Oxford)
	Nadon
	Nixon
	Parent
	Pinard
	Pouliot
	Proudford
	Ratelle
	Richard (Ottawa East)
	Richard (St. Maurice- Lafleche)
	Robertson
	Robinson
	Rocheffort
	Rooney
	Ross (Hamilton East)
	Rousseau
	Schneider
	Smith (Moose Mountain)
	Smith (Queens- Shelburne)
	Smith (York North)
	Stick
	Tremblay
	Valois
	Weir
	Winkler
	Winters—102.

Mr. Drew: Mr. Speaker, I was paired with the Prime Minister (Mr. St. Laurent). Had I voted I would have voted for the amendment.

Mr. Catherwood: I was paired with the hon. member for Kent, Ont. (Mr. Huffman). Had I voted I would have voted for the amendment.

Mr. Wylie: I was paired with the hon. member for Burnaby-Richmond (Mr. Goode). Had I voted I would have voted for the amendment.

Motion agreed to and the house went into committee, Mr. Robinson in the chair.

DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL DEFENCE

Defence services—

241. To provide for the Canadian forces, the defence research board and other expenditures relating to defence, including contributions toward the military costs of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization; to authorize expenditures in the current year out of the amount hereby provided, not

[Mr. Speaker.]

exceeding \$324,000,000, under the provisions of section 3 of the Defence Appropriation Act, 1950, and to provide that, notwithstanding subsection 3 of that section, where equipment or supplies acquired by the Canadian forces after March 31, 1950, are transferred, the estimated present value thereof shall, if the governor in council so directs, be credited to this vote instead of being paid into the special account mentioned in the said subsection 3, and when so credited may be expended for the purposes of the Canadian forces; and notwithstanding section 30 of the Financial Administration Act to authorize total commitments for the foregoing purposes of \$3,994,895,578 regardless of the year in which such commitments will come in course of payment (of which it is estimated that \$1,971,926,275 will come due for payment in future years), \$1,960,159,633.

Item stands.

DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE

A—Department—

249. Departmental administration, \$1,001,677.

Item stands.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

320. Departmental administration, \$671,905.

Mr. McLure: Mr. Chairman, my remarks will be quite brief, but I had in mind a few things with which I should like to deal at the present time. I was surprised when I looked at the amounts we are being asked to vote for the Department of Public Works as I was under the impression that the total this year would be much less than last year owing to the fact that less building was being done. On several occasions I tried to find out if we were going to have a post office built and I was always told that there were three things that were required before that could be done, namely, steel, cement and lumber. I took it for granted that with all those materials being in short supply the vote for public works would be less than in other years. However, I find that there is an increase of nearly \$6 million and I probably should have pushed to have a post office built at Charlottetown out of that extra \$6 million.

Sometimes we on this side of the house are accused of asking for too much, but if sometimes we try to have needs of our constituencies fulfilled we always know that unless they feel kindly toward the constituencies, unless the government feels there is a possibility of winning in the constituencies, they do not treat us as well financially as they treat other places where they have better prospects. However, we are still going to continue to make our appeal for something that is essential and necessary in our constituency.

On many occasions the Minister of Public Works has promised definitely that a post office would be built. I think he has been.