

des Hurons—contribution towards dredging, \$75,000" is also a revote in full. Then the item of \$170,000 for dredging in the Saguenay river is largely a revote; the work is under contract at the present time. These are the main amounts which are revoted.

Mr. LENNARD: Can the minister tell me how many of these items are for the county of Bonaventure?

Mr. CARDIN: The list does not show it by counties, but I know what the hon. member has in mind and I can relieve him of his apprehensions. The total of expenditures for the county of Bonaventure in the main and supplementary estimates is less than it was last year.

Mr. LENNARD: What was it last year?

Mr. CARDIN: I cannot tell the hon. member at the moment, but I can assure him that the expenditures in that county last year were larger than those we contemplate this year. I regret not being able to give the detailed information, but I can give my word to the hon. member that that is so.

Mr. LENNARD: I understand that in this vote alone \$225,000 is earmarked for Bonaventure.

Mr. CARDIN: I would not say that is not correct, but last year the amount was larger than that.

Item agreed to.

Ontario

Harbours and Rivers,

Balm Beach—breakwater, \$5,000.
 Bayfield—reconstruction outer end north pier, \$18,000.
 Bronte—dredging, \$5,200.
 Burlington channel—dredging, \$25,000.
 Burlington channel—reconstruction of south pier, \$108,000.
 Byng Inlet—dredging mouth of Still river, \$11,600.
 Cape Croker—wharf extension, \$9,600.
 Cobourg—dredging, \$18,400.
 Fort William—dredging, \$17,000.
 Glenmount—wharf, \$8,200.
 Gore Bay—purchase and repair wharf, \$20,000.
 Hamilton—harbour improvements, \$250,000.
 Kenora (Laurenson's Creek)—improvements, \$3,000.
 Kingston R.M.C.—retaining wall and maintenance of boat-houses, \$3,000.
 Kingsville—harbour improvements, \$19,900.
 Leamington—harbour improvements, \$100,000.
 Little Current—dredging west channel, \$120,000.
 Meaford—reconstruction of harbour works, \$10,000.
 Midland—dredging Monument channel, \$13,400.
 Nation river—contribution towards dredging, the provincial government to contribute a like amount, \$15,000.

Oshawa—to replace old west pier, \$125,000.
 Owen Sound—harbour improvements, \$30,000.
 Pelee Island—north wharf reconstruction, \$25,000.
 Pentanguishene—wharf extension and repairs, \$21,000.
 Peterborough—harbour improvements, \$15,900.
 Port Arthur—harbour improvements, \$260,000.
 Port Burwell—harbour improvements, \$50,000.
 Port Hope—reconstruction of harbour works, \$27,000.
 Port Maitland—protection wall, \$2,700.
 Port Stanley—harbour repairs and improvements, \$89,000.
 Providence Bay—wharf repairs, \$4,000.
 Rockland—wharf, \$15,000.
 Rondeau—reconstruction inner end, west pier, \$23,000.
 Sarnia—harbour improvements, \$28,000.
 Saugeen river—extension to north pier, \$9,000.
 Sault Ste. Marie—dredging, \$44,000.
 Sydenham river—dredging, \$8,500.
 Thames river (Chatham)—dredging, \$7,400.
 Toronto Island—breakwater, the city of Toronto to bear a like amount, \$125,000.
 Verulam park (Sturgeon lake)—wharf, \$5,500.
 Walker river (Desbarats)—dredging, \$4,500.
 Wallaceburg—wharf extension and warehouse, \$10,000.
 Windermere—small boat landing, \$3,200.
 Windsor—wharf extension, \$15,000.
 Wolsey bay—wharf, \$2,400.

Mr. FACTOR: I notice that the only expenditure for Toronto is for the Toronto island breakwater, \$125,000—"the city of Toronto to bear a like amount." As the minister is aware, accompanied by the hon. members for Trinity (Mr. Plaxton) and York North (Mr. Mulock) I had occasion to make representations in connection with the harbour improvements for the city of Toronto. An item totalling \$400,000 with respect to certain harbour improvements in Toronto harbour was presented to him. What progress has been made in connection with recommending the item for consideration by the government?

The same delegation also urged upon the minister the extension of the Toronto post office, terminal A, for city delivery. The minister is aware no doubt that the facilities in terminal A are very crowded, and that it is necessary to have the building extended. I would appreciate it if the Minister of Public Works could give me some assurance of what the government intends to do about these two matters?

Mr. CARDIN: I have well in mind the representations made by the hon. member for Spadina (Mr. Factor) and his two colleagues with respect to additional works for the city of Toronto. Their case was strongly and ably presented to the government, but at the time of presentation the supplementary estimates with which we are dealing had been prepared and printed. My answer to the hon. member and his colleagues, and others who made representations along the same lines,