

Ltd.; IBM Canada Ltd.; International Harvester Co. of Canada Ltd.; International Nickel Co. (Canada) Ltd.; Irving Oil Co. Ltd.; Kates, Peat, Marwick Co.; Kodak Canada Ltd.; Litton Systems (Canada) Ltd.; MacMillan Bloedel Ltd.; Massey-Ferguson Ltd.; McCain Foods Ltd.; McFarland Construction; McLaren Advertising; Micro-Max Products Ltd.; Microsystems International Ltd.; Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing of Canada Ltd.; Molson Industries Ltd.; National Sea Products Ltd.; Northern Electric Ltd.; Orenda Ltd.; Pacific Petroleum Ltd.; Peat, Marwick Mitchell Co.; Petrofina Canada Ltd.; Proctor and Gamble Co. of Canada Ltd.; RCA Ltd.; North American Rockwell of Canada Ltd.; Rolland Paper Co. Ltd.; Shell Canada Ltd.; Simpson's Ltd.; Spartan Aero Ltd.; Sparton of Canada Ltd.; Sperry Rand of Canada Ltd.; Standard Aero-Engine Ltd.; Steel Co. of Canada Ltd.; Sunar Industries Ltd.; Swift Canada Co. Ltd.; Texaco Co. Ltd.; Union Carbide of Canada Ltd.; Uniroyal Ltd.; United Aircraft; Westinghouse Canada Ltd., Xerox of Canada Ltd.—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/2,803.

No. 2,805—*Mr. Grier*

1. How much has the Department of Supply and Services spent under contracts delegated to it by other government departments or agencies, purchasing goods and services (a) in each province (b) in foreign countries, by country, during each of the fiscal years 1968-69 to 1973-74 to date?

2. How much has the Department of Supply and Services spent under DSS contracts purchasing goods and services (a) in each province (b) in foreign countries, by country, during each of the fiscal years 1968-69, 1972-73 and 1973-74 to date?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/2,805.

No. 2,978—*Mr. Broadbent*

1. What were the names of the corporations which received cash grants under the Defence Industry Productivity Programme (DIP), in each of the fiscal years 1972-73 and 1973-74 to date and, in each case, what were the amounts of money committed and paid?

2. What was the date of the latest evaluative study of the Programme conducted by the Department?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/2,978.

No. 2,990—*Mr. Paproski*

1. How many visitors were officially recorded in Canada in 1971, 1972 and 1973?

2. What was the total number of arrivals at all Canadian ports (including airports) in 1971, 1972 and 1973?

3. How many persons listed as visitors applied for landed status in 1971, 1972 and 1973?

4. Of the above applicants, how many received landed status?

5. Of the total number of persons, by country, applying for landed immigrant status in Canada both abroad and as visitors in 1971, 1972 and 1973, how many were (a) accepted (b) turned down?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/2,990.

No. 2,994—*Mr. Hales*

1. Was a contract TOX 3-1005/2 for personnel services at Toronto, Ontario, during the period July 5, 1973 to May 31, 1974 in the amount of \$14,500 awarded to Kelly Girl Service Ltd. of Toronto, Ontario?

2. Were tenders called and, if so (a) what are the names and addresses of those who tendered (b) was the lowest tender accepted?

3. Were there any extra charges over and above the above figure and, if so, what were they?

4. How were suppliers notified of government requirements?

5. What is the nature of the service to be provided under the contract?

6. For which department or departments of the government is the service to be provided?

7. If the contract provides for the referral and placement of applicants in positions with the Public Service, how many such positions are to be filled under the contract?

8. Into what salary categories do these positions fall?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/2,994.

Mr. Reid, Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, presented,—Returns to the foregoing Orders.

The House resumed debate on the motion of Mr. Gillespie, seconded by Mr. MacEachen,—That Bill C-132, An Act to provide for the review and assessment of acquisitions of control of Canadian business enterprises by certain persons and of the establishment of new businesses in Canada by certain persons, be now read a third time and do pass.

After further debate, the question being put on the said motion, it was agreed to.

Accordingly, the said bill was read the third time and passed.

The House resumed debate on the motion of Mr. Chrétien, seconded by Mr. Lang,—That Bill S-4, An Act to amend the National Parks Act, be now read a second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Indian Affairs and Northern Development.

And debate continuing;

Pursuant to order made Friday, November 23, 1973, at 5.00 o'clock p.m., the House reverted to "Motions".

Mr. Macdonald (Rosedale), a Member of the Queen's Privy Council, laid upon the Table,—Copies of (1) Department of Public Works Guidelines for the Conservation of Energy in Federal Buildings.