

2 THE LONDON ADVERTISER, MONDAY, JUNE 22

A GREAT ARTIST EXPRESSES HIS OPINION OF THE NEW MODEL "BELL" PIANO

Very Excellent Pianos—Most Elegant Instruments Seen Anywhere—Singing Qualities all that an Artist Could Desire—Broad, Rich, Massive Tone—Nothing to Fear from Foreign Competition.

THE BELL PIANO COMPANY (LIMITED), GUELPH, ONT.

Dear Sirs,—It gives me pleasure before leaving Canada to testify to the very excellent Pianos of your manufacture which I have met with and used in connection with my song recitals in this country. The Upright Concert Grand which was placed at my disposal by your manager in London I unhesitatingly pronounce as one of the most elegant instruments that I have seen anywhere, and whilst its singing qualities were all that an artist could desire, it possessed in addition that broad, rich, massive tone which is absolutely indispensable for solo purposes. I heartily congratulate you on your latest achievements in piano construction, and believe that so long as the present high standard of your instruments is maintained your company can have nothing possible to fear from foreign competition. I am faithfully yours, R. WATKIN-MILLS.

Tecumseh House, London, April 28, 1896.

Winding Up.

Liberals Hold Their Last Rally Before Election Night.

Rousing Meeting in the Grand Opera House Saturday Night.

Mr. Bertram's Splendid Address from the Manufacturer's Standpoint.

Mr. Hyman's Complete Refutation of Attacks and Arguments.

"Fighting Jim" Lister's Denunciation of Tupperism.

Mr. Gibbons' Telling Exposure of Foul Tactics in the City.

Mr. Campbell on the Carriage Trade—A Disreputable Circular Stamped as a Lie by Mr. Gibbons—Enthusiastic Audience and Rousing Speeches.

The last Liberal rally before the final grand one tomorrow evening after the close of the polls, was held Saturday night in the Opera House. The heat was intense and as the chairman remarked, it was almost a punishment to have to endure it, but the meeting was a splendid one nevertheless, and any discomfort the audience suffered was more than atoned for by the brilliant presentation of Liberal arguments by the five speakers of the evening. The enthusiasm like the weather, was at fever heat, and the hall rang almost continuously with the cheers of the electors who crowded the auditorium. Ex-Mayor Campbell, the veteran carriage manufacturer, was in the chair, and as usual talked straight to the point. Mr. Hyman was in excellent form, and though he spoke briefly, every word carried weight. His manly appeal for redress of the wrong done in the last bye-election evoked a storm of reciprocal sentiment which showed how deep-seated is the remembrance of that outrage. Mr. George H. Bertram, one of Toronto's leading manufacturers, handled the fiscal question with the clearness and incisiveness of the practical business man, and with an oratorical skill that surprised and delighted his auditors. He was tendered a genuine ovation after his splendid effort. Mr. James Lister, Q.C., the hero of Lambton, followed with a rousing speech, hitting straight from the shoulder, at the Government's corruption, past and present. His fearless exposure of Tupperism was heartily appreciated. Mr. Gibbons, always welcomed by a London audience, closed the meeting with one of his usual clear-cut, pungent addresses, that have made him noted as a platform speaker.

The following were among the gentlemen seated on the platform: Dr. George C. Davis, president of the Young Liberal Club; Wm. McKay, South Oxford; H. Hartness, Sarnia; Frank Leonard, Geo. Hooper; Robt. Robertson, J. Westervelt; H. A. Hrynager, J. W. McKillop; J. F. O'Meara, U. A. Buchner; Counselor Moore, Alex. Shambles; A. M. Hamilton, Dr. McFarlane; Major Hayes, T. Walton, sen.; O. E. Brenner, Alex. McDonald; C. T. Strong, James Gillian; Robt. McPherson, Dr. C. P. Campbell; Ald. Parnell, Geo. H. Belton; Findlay Elliott, A. J. Liddell; E. J. Orendorf, E. J. Orendorf; J. Pole, Thos. Hobbs, sen.; F. Saunders, M. Masoret.

W. R. Hobbs, Thos. Brown, Robt. Angus, J. Thomas, Geo. Delaney, Thos. Murphy, Andrew Templeton, John Mills, James Anderson, D. T. Ware, Richard Hobbs, W. Wiley, Henry Donald, A. J. Jarvis, James Hutton, Michael Shea, Alex. Moorhead, J. Shullington, John Durand, R. Magee, W. J. Eilement, G. W. Francis, W. H. Oke, J. R. Adams, R. Lloyd, W. S. Case, James Reid, Allen McConnell, J. A. Sutherland, John Marr, J. Morris, L. W. Burke, W. Portwood, Henry Vincent, Thomas H. Mortimore, Wm. T. Moffat, F. O'Neill, J. O'Donnell, James Breen, Ed. McKinnon, W. Dunn, John Grason, John Heaman, James McCauley, E. Shea, T. Brenton, C. H. Fowling, W. A. Brown, John Campbell, John Gillbank, N. Mills, J. Fitzgerald, John Murphy, A. E. Taylor, R. H. Morrison, L. Gibson, J. W. Jones, Wm. J. Jones, John Munnoch, T. B. Uren, John Kennedy, E. J. Rumball, Arthur Brown, Wm. Glendinning, J. S. Donnell, Joshua Brock, George Robson, H. Talbot, F. Annan, J. G. Dodd, W. J. Anderson, W. Wellman, R. Lloyd, W. Murray, G.T.R., George Black, W. Michael, Gerald Fitzgerald, C. G. Jarvis, Wm. Shea, D. D. Smith, W. J. Craig, H. W. Blinn, C. O. Stapleton, T. Reynolds, A. J. McNeil, G. Wilson, Frank Butler, W. H. Thorne, Alex. McKinnon, Ed. Hayden, R. Dunn, John Ferguson, Wm. McGowan, Thos. Redge, Thomas Rogers, E. Spettigue, Geo. Robinson, and many others.

Chairman Campbell. Mr. John Campbell was vigorously applauded on rising, and the applause was renewed when a moment later he said, "We want to sweep the Tupperites out of existence. We have been a long-suffering people, we have had a great deal of forbearance, but when Tupper comes amongst us he is well received and everyone gave him due attention without interruption. We find that in other places he has not been treated in the same way, but I am proud to say that Reformers have not offered any objection to his speaking. Everywhere the opposition has been by old-time Conservatives, who are disgusted with the Tupperites, and there are thousands of good, honest Conservatives who will vote against him, and you will find that on Tuesday next, with the assistance of dissatisfied Conservatives, we are going to win this election. (Cheers.)

Mr. Campbell then briefly introduced Mr. O. S. Hyman.

Mr. Hyman received an ovation like that which a London audience always tenders him. When the cheers had subsided, and the hats, canes and handkerchiefs had been withdrawn, Mr. Hyman opened with an expression of gratitude that the contest had been conducted with so much kindness of spirit. No matter who was elected, after the battle they had still to live in their good city of London, and work together in peace and harmony. "I have found," he said, "and with an article in today's issue of the Free Press," said Mr. Hyman, "concerning the G. T. R. car works, and my connection therewith. Of course, I know this is done to put against me the railway vote, but I am glad to say that I have behind me the strongest following of railway men that any Liberal candidate ever had in London. (Cheers.) The article in the Free Press contains some truths, but more untruths. It says that I was mayor of London when the car works were burned down. That is not so.

I was not mayor until the next year, and at the end of that year, whether I had succeeded in doing my duty or not, I know that the Free Press appeared with a most fulsome article in praise of my year's work—(cheers)—and the editor of that paper did me the honor to do some other Conservatives, of asking me to accept a re-nomination for the mayorship. I declined. (Cheers.) That a candidate already in the field should retire and give me the election by acclamation. (Cheers.) Mr. Hyman then touched on the trade question, showing the present contest was not one between free trade and protection. Within the four corners of the Liberal trade policy, the word free trade did not appear. As an evidence of the unfairness of the present tariff policy, Mr. Hyman showed that the people of Canada were forced to contribute \$23,000,000 yearly for the benefit of two or three rice-cleaning factories, while the Government only raised \$5,000 upon the importation of unshelled rice. When the Liberal tariff in power, more than \$1 out of ever \$5 taken from the people would go into the treasury. Mr. Beattie had made some references to the business in which he (Mr. Hyman) was engaged, that of leather. "It was a MOST UNFORTUNATE ALLUSION for Mr. Beattie," said Mr. Hyman. "Leather is not a manufactured article. The tariff is from 10 to 15 per cent, so that it is an industry natural to the country. Of all the manufactured articles exported from Canada, leather forms about one-fifth of the whole. (Applause.) The Canadian leather industry is not only able to supply the home market, and hold it, but in free trade England are able to meet the manufacturers of all the rest of the world, and hold their own. (Cheers.) Last year there was sold in England \$1,357,000 worth of Canadian leather. For eight years we have had a policy presumably conducted wholly in the interests of the manufacturers. Yet how much manufactured goods do you think Canada has been able to export? In four years under Mr. Mackenzie's reign, the amount increased from \$200,000 to \$270,000, or 90 per cent. From 1879 to 1893 the amount had only increased to \$4,257,000, or practically no increase at all. (Cheers.) In 1893 the amount was \$7,768,000, but what was the bulk of it? Not articles of manufacture which were protected by a high tariff. The largest value next to leather was represented by household effects going to the United States. (Cheers.) Next to the bottom of the list there is hardly a single dollar's worth of anything coming from Canada."

AN EXTRA HIGH TARIFF or a higher one than the Liberals would place there if in power." (Cheers.) Mr. Hyman said they had heard of French Liberal boodlers. "Mr. Mercier had been led into doing things which he ought not to have done," he said, "when he was elected to the honor of his party as Liberal. If they were the Liberals asked them to treat their boodlers as the Liberals had treated their boodlers."

"The honor of the city of London is at stake in this election," concluded Mr. Hyman, "and I said at the last contest that you desired me to represent you in Parliament. (Cheers.) What said the Government through their INFAMOUS FRANCHISE ACT?"

They said: "We care not for the will of the majority of the city of London. They deprived the majority representative of London from that to which he was entitled. In all truthfulness, from that day to this we have heard one Conservative outside of London who did not condemn that act, and say that it was a fair and honest thing. (Cheers.) I ask the citizens of London to give me back on Tuesday the city of London which is my right—cheers and cries of 'We will!'—and I am happy to say I have met in my canvass, not scores, but hundreds, who formerly opposed me, but who say that they resent the injustice, and because they feel the honor of the city is at stake they will give me their assistance on Tuesday. (Loud cheers.)

Mr. George H. Bertram. Mr. Bertram, who was introduced as a leading manufacturer, said he was not patriotic enough to stand up in defense of a policy that would be injurious to his own business, even if it would help the Liberal party. Mr. Bertram said that the time had come when the tariff should cease to be an issue. It was injurious to business to have it discussed every five years. He thought that if six men of the Liberal and Conservative parties would come together, they could arrive at some understanding on this particular question, but they could not have that concurrence until they came to the conclusion that no Government was justified in giving any legislation of any kind for the benefit of one class at the expense of another. (Cheers.) "Under the National Policy we have increased the debt \$150,000,000, and the annual expenditure \$15,000,000, so that we could not now have free trade if we wanted it, and as Mr. Laurier pointed out in his speech, we cannot even get back to the low tariff we had in Mr. Mackenzie's time. I do not think we can get back to within 5 or 10 per cent of it. A tariff policy like this has the effect of raising revenue, and

at the same time giving incidental protection to Canadian manufacturers, is the only policy fair and reasonable to all parties and unjust to none." (Cheers.) Mr. Bertram pointed out that what the business interests needed was stability in the tariff, and not continual alterations at the DEMAND OF CERTAIN CLASSES, as had been the case in the past few years. The National Policy was a high sounding name, but it could be purchased at a book store. It was simply a hand-book of the Canadian customs tariff. Many items of that tariff instead of being a benefit to the manufacturers of Canada, were a positive injury to them. On every ton of pig iron used by Canadian manufacturers, there was a duty of from 45 to 60 per cent. What kind of a policy was that which taxed a manufacturer's raw material 45 or 50 per cent, and only gave him 20 per cent on his manufactured article? (Hear, hear.) He cared nothing about a man's theories; he must be judged by results. So the time had come to judge the National Policy by its results, and not by its theories. A few years ago, when the National Policy was at its height, they had 22 manufacturers of binders in Canada. Policy they had only seven. (Hear, hear.) What a beautiful object lesson of the benefits of the N. P. (Cheers.) Agricultural implement factories in St. Thomas, London, Chatham, Glencoe and other places that were once running were now idle. Where had the workmen gone? The population had not increased, so they must have gone abroad, seeking work. The results of the N. P. had been the combining of a certain number of Canadian manufacturers, who were

ENJOYING UNDUE PROTECTION, in combining they charged prices they had no business to charge, but which the National Policy permitted them to do. Mr. Bertram exposed the fallacy of the plan to decrease the competition by showing that there was no competition in cottons throughout Canada. He showed that a \$15,000 cotton plant had been erected in Dundas, and had now been idle for six months, though at the time a dividend was being paid to the shareholders. Why was this? Simply because the cottons were not sold enough from the people to pay not only the expenses and profits of the running factories, but a

DIVIDEND ON THE IDLE FACTORIES. (Hear, hear.) What became of the workmen? The population of Dundas had gone down 1,000. That was a result of the N. P. (Cheers.) Sir Charles Tupper, who had been in his so-called iron tariff policy, said that smelting furnaces would spring up all over the country, and that Canadian manufacturers would give the foreign article. But notwithstanding the afterthoughts put into the raw material of Canadian manufacturers in order to develop the mineral resources of the country, there was not one Canadian manufacturer who had been able to buy a single ton of pig iron from these new furnaces which were to be established. (Cheers.) It had been

A COMPLETE FAILURE. Mr. Bertram, in forcible language, pointed out that despite the construction of the C. P. R. and the enormous outlay on public works, which brought people to the country, the exodus of the flower of the population was three times greater than in Mackenzie's time. (Hear, hear.) "I think this country is capable of supporting not 5,000,000, not 10,000,000, but 50,000,000—cheers—but we have been starting wrong and foremost, we have been starting factories first and waiting for population afterwards. We must get population first. (Cheers.) When we get a Government that will turn the flow of immigration into the Northwest and fill the vacant lands of Ontario, then

FACTORIES WILL SPRING UP and stores will spring up, for you cannot build up this country on a fair and sound basis unless you lighten the burden of the people who are settling in, who are heaving the forest and who are tilling the land." (Prolonged cheers.)

Mr. Bertram scored a telling point on the relations of employers and employees with respect to politics. "Although I have a large number of men working for me," he said, "I leave them absolutely free to vote as they like. (Cheers.) I would not send them a circular or

USE INTIMIDATION in any shape or form. (Tearful cheers.) If I did so, I would have a very poor opinion of my employees. I would think conscientiously against men than of one who voted on my side to please me." (Cheers.)

The speaker, referring to the Manitoba school question, said that if it were not taken out of the Dominion arena it would be one of the most disastrous things that could happen to the business interests of the country. There was no man in this broad Dominion speaking the confidence of the English-speaking race in Ontario and the French-speaking race in Quebec, possessing the confidence of both Protestant and Catholic, so great a degree as the Hon. Wilfrid Laurier. Mr. Laurier's name caused an ovation. If they sent him

BACK AS PREMIER, assisted by the Grand Old Man, Sir Oliver Mowat—(cheers)—and that good and good Liberal, Sir Henry John (cheers)—they would have three

in the front rank of the Liberal party who would bring peace and quiet to the country. (Cheers.) They could never do it by returning a man like Sir Charles Tupper, who in Montreal asked a French-Canadian Catholic audience to reject Laurier on the basis of an assertion that he was a traitor to his race and his religion, and in Manitoba asked a Protestant audience to vote against Laurier because Laurier would give the Catholics more than Tupper, a Protestant. (Cries of "Shame!") If the Liberals of London want to be heard in the councils of the nation, concluded Mr. Bertram, "there is no man in this broad Dominion who is better able to do justice to the Liberal cause, who is better able to represent the Liberals of London than your friend and my friend, Mr. Hyman. (Cheers.) If I were a Conservative living in London, I would feel that the honor of London, that the credit of London, that the importance of London in the interests of this country, were even of more importance than the support of the Conservative party. (Cheers.) Therefore, I say that all good Conservatives should cast their votes for a man who is no nobler, and who is well qualified to fill a position in the front ranks of Liberalism, and I cannot think it is possible that the people of the city of London will go back on the 22nd of June on Mr. Charles S. Hyman. (Continued cheers.)

"Fighting Jim" Lister. The ovation Mr. Lister received on entering the stage was repeated as he arose to address the audience. Mr. Lister is an eloquent and convincing speaker, and one who from the very first finds himself on good terms with his hearers. He is a past master among humorists, and frequently adorned his arguments of fact and figures with a little story to point the moral and otherwise adorn the tale. Leading off, he said that he hoped the next time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23, and the audience seconded the motion. He referred to the notorious steel steal which London was deprived of the same time Mr. Hyman held a political meeting he would select a cool night. Then he mopped his brow. Proceeding, he predicted a Waterloo for the Tupperites on the night of June 23,