

On the next day I added a P.S. saying that to simplify matters I would put my request in form of questions, which I did (Sept. 28)

Finding it hard to believe that Standard-Bearer could have received this letter without an instant reply, I wrote again:—

Oct. 14, 1907.

MY DEAR MRS. HOLDEN:

I have expected long ago to hear from you in reply to my letter of Sept. 27 and to my list of questions sent you on the following day, the 28th.

I am very loath to send my statement to the printer with no reply from you, and thus to be obliged to answer the questions myself. Of course I know the answer, and the only answer, to all the questions, but if it came from you it would show that at least you realized the responsibility you had assumed in writing in public as a "Standard-Bearer", and it would also show that the Order which you represented realizes the responsibility they have assumed by permitting a "Standard-Bearer," first to print false statements, and second, to allow them to remain unanswered when I have challenged them.

Trusting that you will realize the position in which you have placed yourself and the Order for which you have undertaken to speak,

Believe me,

With all kind regards,

Very sincerely yours,

MARGARET POLSON MURRAY.

Finding it still harder to believe that *this* letter could be allowed to stand without a reply, I asked the P.O. Inspector in Montreal to issue tracers to verify the delivery and I quote from his reply.

... "the letter was delivered on October 16th to Mrs. R. Holden the addressee and he holds her receipt for same.

Yours truly,

J. W. BAIN,

Nov. 6, 1907.

P.O. Inspector.

... "letter mailed by you on 28th September, was received and signed for on October 3rd by Mrs. Holden who acknowledges receipt."

Yours truly,

J. W. BAIN,

Nov. 9, 1907.

P. O. Inspector