

But these gentlemen, forsooth, will admit the 'galvanized Yankee' freely—and let me tell these hon. gentlemen that that term 'galvanized Yankee' is well understood on the other side of the line; it is used to describe the man who would disrupt the republic and destroy law and order. We had a wing of them come into Medicine Hat only a few months ago, and they indulged in all kinds of performances, even to committing murder. These are the men we object to; these are the men that the honest American citizen objects to. And I want to tell the hon. member for East Assiniboia that he will fall far short of his object in seeking to impress the honest American citizen by suggesting that he is a 'galvanized Yankee'. The honest American repudiates this class, for the honest American citizen upholds law and order when he comes to our side of the line as he has been accustomed to uphold it where he lived before. On more than one occasion I have pointed out that the Americans are the most law-abiding people in the world—I speak of the genuine American. I can take you to counties in the United States—towns of 2,000 inhabitants in Pennsylvania, Maryland, Ohio, Illinois and elsewhere where, for over thirty years, they have not had a single arrest, where hotels cannot be maintained—not merely because they cannot be licensed, but because the people will not drink the liquor. I can show you whole counties where they have not had a criminal case in twenty-five years.

Mr. DUNCAN ROSS. I rise to a point of order, Mr. Speaker. I would ask you whether the hon. gentleman can continue a discussion that arose in connection with another matter that was before this House some months ago.

Mr. SPEAKER. At the moment I cannot recall anything the hon. gentleman (Mr. Sam. Hughes) said that was out of order.

Mr. SAM. HUGHES. I know this is not congenial to the distinguished statesman and constitutional lawyer from Yale-Cariboo, the gentleman, who I suppose has been associating with and possibly owes his election to, the very class of men that I am condemning.

Mr. DUNCAN ROSS. You will be making more apologies in a short time.

Mr. SAM. HUGHES. No. I never made an apology in my life. What I stand to is the word I utter. And I want to tell my hon. friend and his friends that when the time comes, they will find themselves in a very serious position for attempting to fasten upon the honest people of the Northwest or of British Columbia who have come to us from the United States the term 'galvanized Yankee'.

Motion agreed to, and House went into Committee of Supply.

Hon. WM. PUGSLEY (Minister of Public Works. I would ask the committee to take up harbours and rivers, Ontario.

Mr. BENNETT. Will the minister please place on the table the tenders of which he spoke when the House was last in Committee of Supply?

Mr. PUGSLEY. The tenders for dredging are on their way over, and will be here in a few moments.

Public Works, chargeable to income—harbours and rivers, Ontario—Belle river dredging, close piling, &c., \$1,000.

Mr. PUGSLEY. This is a revote of \$1,000. It represents the cost of carrying on works at the mouth of the Belle river in the county of Essex.

Mr. CLEMENTS. What is the nature of the work?

Mr. PUGSLEY. There is forty feet lineal of sheet piling on the west side of the harbour, dredging and driving of some piles 24 feet long.

Mr. CLEMENTS. What is the depth of the water?

Mr. PUGSLEY. Nine feet at low water.

Mr. SAM. HUGHES. What has been expended on this work previously?

Mr. PUGSLEY. About \$14,000. This is supposed to complete what is now in view.

Mr. BLAIN. Is it under contract?

Mr. PUGSLEY. No, it is done by days' labour. There is such a variety of work that it cannot be done under contract.

Mr. CLEMENTS. Who is the foreman?

Mr. PUGSLEY. We have not yet appointed a foreman.

Beaverton—harbour improvement, \$3,500.

Mr. SAM. HUGHES. I want this to go through, but I want the minister to explain what is the total cost of the work, who is doing it, on what terms and when it will be completed.

Mr. PUGSLEY. This work represents sheet piling and dredging. The total expenditure is estimated at \$2,000 for the dredging and \$1,500 for the other work.

Mr. SAM. HUGHES. Is this all in connection with it?

Mr. PUGSLEY. There was an expenditure last year of the same amount.

Blanche river—improvement, \$5,000.

Mr. PUGSLEY. This is in Nipissing. The work is the removal of snags and other obstructions to navigation.

Mr. SAM. HUGHES. What is the policy of the government in relation to these in-