Constitution Act, 1867

Gauthier), which would like to feel that they are part of the National Capital. All this is to tell you that I would have liked that bill to meet the requirements of the present reality. But such is not the case. I would suggest to my hon. colleague from Hull-Aylmer (Mr. Isabelle) to rethink his bill, and to come back with another bill which would meet the present needs and requirements.

To conclude, Mr. Speaker, I would simply like to thank you for bearing with me and letting me talk for the time left to us, and I regret that parliamentary rules make it impossible sometime to give everyone a chance to express himself or herself on a bill. I believe that the Hon. Member for Hull-Aylmer (Mr. Isabelle) has another bill, similar to this one, to change the name of the Ottawa airport, and at that time, my colleagues from Ontario will have the full opportunity to express their views because that airport is on the Ontario side. **Mr. Gauthier:** Mr. Speaker, considering the interest that exists in the House for this Bill, I am sure the Member for Hull-Aylmer (Mr. Isabelle) would agree if I suggest that the Bill be withdrawn and the subject matter of the Bill referred to a standing committee of the House. I would like to make this a motion, Mr. Speaker.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Charest): You may move the amendment, but that will require a seconder other than the Member for Hull-Aylmer (Mr. Isabelle).

Order, please. The hour provided for the consideration of Private Members' Business has now expired.

It being five o'clock p.m., this House stands adjourned until Monday next at eleven o'clock a.m., pursuant to Standing Order 2(1).

At 5 p.m. the House adjourned.