MARINE MINISTER OF The

FISHERIES. duction in the department.

Mr. FOSTER. Please explain.

(Mr. Tarte). I am asking \$3.940 less than a nationality, who I think, are entitled to (MF. Tarte). I am asking coord less that a nationality, who i think, are charted to last year, and the reason is that I have some consideration in this country. There-dispensed with the services of Mr. Light- fore, on that ground, if it were possible foot, a first-class clerk, drawing a salary to do so, I would ask the hon, gentleman of \$1.800. I have also dispensed with the to reconsider the case of Mr. Belliveau and services of the following third-class clerks : try to put him back in the service. Mr. Durocher, Mr. Marion, Mr. Belliveau and Mr. Champagne.

Mr. FOSTER. tect \$200 less ?

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. Mr. Fuller, the Chief Architect, has been quired whether this man was needed. superannuated, and I only give \$3,000 to Mr. is whether because a man is of French Ewart, who is acting chief architect.

clerk ?

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. He has been acting for years as assistant chief architect. I have promoted him, and he is now acting chief architect.

Mr. FOSTER. Who is the assistant.

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. I have none. I do not want any either, for linew lines. the time being.

Mr. HAGGART. Have you appointed Mr. special reason ? Ewart chief architect?

I did not appoint him chief architect. He is simply acting as such.

Mr. HAGGART. Is it your intention to recommend his appointment?

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. I have not made up my mind what I will d0.

Mr. McINERNEY. Is there any special reason for dismissing Mr. Belliveau?

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. There is no special reason, but in looking through my department I found that I could dispense with the services of a cer-tain number of employees, and I am very go into the Public Works Department ? sorry that Mr. Belliveau was one of those. I would like very much to keep them, but He has been transferred from the depart-I feel that I can go on without those whom ment of the Privy Council to my depart-I have dismissed, and I have made up my mind to practice economy as much as I can.

Mr. McINERNEY. I regret very much that the hon. gentleman found it necessary to dismiss Mr. Belliveau. I understand that there were only two French Acadians in the service at Ottawa, and one of these was Mr. Belliveau who has been dismissed. The French Acadians in the province of New Brunswick number over 60,000 souls. They are a people who ought to be looked comes up?

AND on as having some rights in this country, Yes; that is the only re- and to have them represented by one man in the service here is not, I think, giving them a fair measure of justice. If the Min-Department of Public Works \$48,600 ister of Public Works found it necessary to curtail the number of officers in his de-partment, I think he might have done so The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS without striking at one of the two men of

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. I do not see what the question of race has to How is the Chief Archi- do with such a question. I did not inquire whether Mr. Belliveau was a French Acadian or a French Canadian. I simply in-I found I did not need him, and the question origin or of English origin, we are bound to Mr. FOSTER. Is Mr. Ewart a first-class employ him when we do not want him. There is the whole question for me. I am very sorry indeed to have to dispense with the services of Mr. Belliveau ; but I may add, as the hon. gentleman raises this question, that if Mr. Belliveau had been an abler man than he is, perhaps I would have kept him. I am quite prepared to show the letter which Mr. Belliveau has written to me, and in which there are as many mistakes as

Mr. McINERNEY. I thought you had no

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. I had no special reason when he was dismissed: but he has written this letter to me since. It seems to me that in such a case I should not be accused of being an enemy of the French Acadian race. Still, if I can see my way to employ Mr. Belli-veau in another place, I will do so gladly.

> Mr. McINERNEY. Did the hon. gentleman take in his department a new thirdclass clerk in place of some he had dismissed?

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. I did not appoint one man.

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. ment in place of Mr. Thériault.

Mr. HUGHES. Is Mr. John B. Charleson employed in the Public Works Department?

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. Yes. He is not employed in the class I am now asking money for.

Mr. CASGRAIN. I would like to know in what class he is employed, because we have something to say about him when the vote

Mr. DAVIES.