Vol. XIV

TORONTO AND MONTREAL, APRIL, 1897

No. 4

Canadian Journal of Fabrics

A Journal devoted to Textile manufactures and the Dry Goods and kindred trades.

Subscription Canada and United States, $$t \infty$ per year$. Great Britain $5/\cdots$ Advertising rates on application.

Agency in Europe · Polsue Bros., 30 Poppin's Court, Fleet St., London Eng Toronto Telephone, 1392 | Montreal Telephone, 2589

Business correspondence should be addressed to Montreal; but outs, nows items and editorial correspondence to Toronto; outs from abroad should be sent by post wherever possible, not by express; changes of adv. rissements should be in our hands not later than the oth of each month to ensure insertion.

THE CANADIAN TEXTILE DIRECTORY

A Handbook of all the Cotton, Woolen and other Textile manufactures of Canada, with lists of manufacturers' agents and the whole sale and retail dry goods and kindred trades of the Dominion, to which is appended a vast amount of valuable statistics relating to these trades. Fourth edition now in hand

Price, on and after publication, \$3.00. Subscribers ordering in advance are given a discount of \$1.00

BIGGAR, SAMUEL & CO., Publishers.

Fraser Building, Montreal

CONTENTS OF THIS NUMBER:

Pac	Ġĸ I	Pa	M.F.
	107	Linen or Flax Fibre. The	102
Bleaching and Dyeing Coverlets		London Fur Sale	105
	98	Mills, Among the .	116
	101	Oiler Spencer Automatic	106
Carpet Loom Fixer's Lament, A		Scutcher or Wet Cutling Machine	10%
Chemicals and Dyestuffs	118	Silk Hat The Centenary of the	115
Departmental Stores	97	Tariff, The	97
" Legislation	107	" Commission, The	118
Editorial .	97	Textile Centres, Foreign	112
	114	" Design	110
	105	" Imports from Gt Britain	1:5
	112	Technical Education, English and	
" and Knit-Goods Manu-		German Methods of .	103
facturers of the United		Water, The Technical Value of	
States, National Asso		Pure	109
	115	Woot, The Bleaching of	101
	iio	" Markets, The	110
Knitting Machines, Making Quick	···	" Sales, London	106
Repaire on	113	" Scouring	110

Editorial.

We Think Not.

In an editorial paragraph on the departmental store question, a contemporary makes this curious statement: "The establishment of the big stores has had a marked tendency to increase the aggregate business of Toronto merchants, taking into account both the retail and the wholesale trade. . . . They have taken away a considerable portion of the trade that formerly was done by the wholesale houses of Montreal, and to this extent Toronto has been benefited." How far from true this statement is may be gathered from the fact that the dry goods imported into Toronto in February,

1897, amounted to \$232,420 less than for the same period last year. The big retail stores of Toronto have injured the Toronto wholesale houses directly by absorbing the cash business of the small dealers formerly purchasing supplies from them. Trade has been diverted to Montreal also from the country which is more properly tributary to Toronto, owing to the fact that the country storekeepers are gradually coming to the conclusion that the indications point to the Montreal houses being longest in the trade. The suspension of a wholesale house always carries down a number of retail firms, and nowadays retailers are looking into the financial standing of those who supply them with almost as much care as the wholesale merchant exercises in selecting his customers. The country merchant who is free to do so is now transferring his account to whoever he thinks most able to carry it.

Much has been made of the delay The of the Laurier Government in bring-Tariff. ing down the tariff, but tariffs are not made in a day, and it is well, for while they are powerless to create prosperity in the face of depression, or to avert the disaster which must always follow inflation, yet they can become most destructive weapons in untrained hands. The fact that the Dingley bill was passed in the U.S. House of Representatives within a month after President McKinley's inauguration is not pertinent. The Dingley bill was a hand-me-down, which a former Congress had refused, and has now been passed by a House elected largely by the money of the combines in whose interest the bill was prepared. The Canadian Parliament was elected largely in the belief that tariff modification was necessary, and much information on the question has been collected by those in charge of the proposed revision. During the time that this revision has been in contemplation great changes have taken place in the circumstances which must govern the framers of the measure. The United States has reimposed many of the excessive duties of the McKinley bill, and Canadians are face to face with a new problem. It is, how shall we so manage our tariff as to exclude as completely as may be the products of the United States from our markets, while at the same time imposing upon imports from Great Britain and our sister colonies such duties only as are absclutely necessary to the existence of our Canadian industries.