

under the high ones, and met the low, swift ones with such effect that while the players from beyond the inter-provincial bridge scored only eleven, the *Lilli-putians* completed the circuit once.

The College players were: P. Sabourin, p.; O. McHugh, 1st b.; L. Gillick, 2nd b.; J. Gillick, 3rd b.; M. Rousseau, s.s.; W. Perrault, r.f.; P. Mulligan, l.f.; O. Sauvé, c.f.; L. Smith, c.

The same nine played another, and a much more successful, game with the Snowflakes on Saturday, May 10th. The defeat they suffered in the previous match was evidently rankling, for they opened up with a vim that augured well of success. The score at the conclusion stood 14 to 11 in favor of Small Yard. Pitcher McHugh was relieved during the game by Rousseau, whose curves simply mesmerized the opposing batters. Mr. P. Conway, of the senior team, was umpire, and his decisions gave satisfaction.

ATHLETICS.

Chaotic best describes the condition of athletics in the Capital at present. Fortunately for us, we are not concerned in the present agitation, beyond being interested spectators. Local athletic organizations led the rebellion against the C. A. A. U., and we are naturally interested in the outcome.

Naturally, the question arises, what is the cause of this rebellion against the central authority? The first answer that will come to our minds is, that the impotency of that body itself in the past is primarily responsible. Failure to accomplish its purpose led to contempt for its laws, and the conduct of athletic organizations was framed by the individual expediency of their members. Now the C. A. A. U., awakened from its lethargy by the advent of a more vigorous executive, finds that this conduct is incompatible with the ideal it aims to attain. The inevitable results are conflict and chaos.

A second analysis may afford a reasonable foundation for the belief that the cause lies in a natural tendency towards the development of a permanent code of ethics in athletics, suitable to the character of the people, and for which the C. A. A. U. is responsible, only in so far as its new-found vigor hastened this development, and precipitated a conflict that, sooner or later, was inevitable.

On either hypothesis it appears that the task which the C. A. A.