

## HE AND SHE.

The morn was hot. I dozing lay  
Upon the cosy sofa  
I did not care to stir that day,  
I wished to be a loafer.

He asked of me to come and row  
With him upon the river.  
I answered sharply, saying, "No,  
The thought he makes me shiver."

I said I wasn't such a fool,  
And meant to lounge all morning;  
Made him stay, also, and be cool—  
He left, my counsel scorning.

She came and asked me as I lay,  
The little, bright-eyed charmer,  
If I would come with her to say  
A visit to a farmer.

She had some eggs to get, she said,  
" 'Tis but a little distance."  
I rose and followed where she led,  
Without the least resistance.

ATTIE.

## Correspondence.

## Editors McGill Gazette:

GENTLEMEN:—I am instructed by the General Committee of this Association to hand you a list of events for competition in our next Annual Field Meeting, to take place on Friday, the 15th of October next, with a request that you would kindly publish the same. It is a matter of interest to many intending competitors to know some time beforehand what the programme will comprise.

It is hoped that before next session opens the much-needed apparatus, etc., for those in training will be on hand, and ready for use.

It has been decided to ask the authorities for space in the coming calendar, so that intending students may get all necessary information relating to the Association and affiliated clubs.

It has been decided to retain all the events of last year's meeting, and to add one more, the 120 yards hurdle race, making 20 in all, viz:

1.—Kicking football; 2.—Throwing hammer (16 lbs); 3.—Running broad jump; 4.—Putting the shot (16 lbs); 5.—Throwing the cricket ball; 6.—Standing broad jump; 7.—Throwing heavy weight (56 lbs); 8.—Running high jump; 9.—Tug of war (teams from each Faculty); 10.—One mile run; 11.—100 yards run (in heats); 12.—Polo leap; 13.—880 yards run; 14.—One mile (open to all amateurs, special medal if Canadian record is broken); 15.—One mile walk; 16.—440 yards run; 17.—Three-legged race; 18.—220 yards run; 19.—One mile bicycle race; 20.—120 yards hurdle race.

Trusting that you may find space for the above,

I remain, your obedient servant,

JOHN A. SPRINGLE,

Sec'y McG. U.A.A.

MONTREAL, March 23rd, 1886.

We have much pleasure in publishing the above letter, and hope it will be the means of making keener still the already keen competitions at our Annual Field Meetings.—EDS. MCGILL GAZETTE.

## Editors University Gazette:—

Kindly permit me to make a few remarks with reference to the charge of plagiarism laid by Mr. J. A. Macfarlane against "Vox."

As far as Mr. Macfarlane's "supreme contempt for plagiarism and plagiarists" is concerned, it is surely shared by all. But in the present case there are extenuating facts which I think, ought to be made known. I may state that I was fully acquainted with the contents of "Vox's" article before it was published; and having, at the time, read the original MS. can say that the quotations in question were there acknowledged. The quotation marks must have been omitted in the copying. Now, although I do not wish to put myself forward as a champion of "Vox's" opinions, I most certainly disapprove of the spirit in which he has been dealt with by Mr. Macfarlane. Both he and "Vox" are theological students, but Mr. Macfarlane's treatment of the whole matter is surely no exhibition of that Christian charity one would look for among such a class of men, when we read, "Brethren, if a man be overtaken in a fault, ye which are spiritual, restore such an one in the spirit of meekness; considering thyself, lest thou also be tempted." Had Mr. Macfarlane possessed ordinary Christian charity he would have found better foundation for his treatment of the affair than mere suspicion, when he might have used his cynicism and love of honor with much greater effect.

Should not his love of honor, which is so lavishly displayed in his letter, have led him to have avoided such ambiguous words as the following:—"We are especially indignant as this is the second time that unacknowledged second hand articles have been sent in to us for publication." "Vox," I learn, has "sent in" but one article.

Mr. Macfarlane's descent to personalities is not certainly in very good taste. It is uncharitable, and were one inclined to be harsh, might be called contemptible. However little credit as a student "Vox" was to McGill College, Mr. Macfarlane's reference to such was certainly out of place, and to those who know the facts in this particular case, it proves too much, as in whichever way it may be interpreted it involves one or other of his fellow-editors.

"A house divided against itself cannot stand," in view of which it would have been well had Mr. Macfarlane consulted Mr. G. A. Thompson, his colleague, as to the reasons given "Vox" why his article was not accepted, which were that, "it was too severe and might injure the Presbyterian College," and Mr. Thompson offered to publish the article if "Vox" would tone it down.

Far be it from me to accuse Mr. Macfarlane of dissimulation. Such a thing must not be hinted at, as by his letter he shows such high regard for honor. Yet, are not the above facts inconsistent with the reason given by Mr. Macfarlane for the rejection of the article. Such a glaring contradiction in the statements of these two editors, can have but one explanation. Somebody's "shrewdness" is at fault here. "What sort of opinion will honorable students and graduates entertain for such men," as the editors in