

There is also the charge of Missionaries of the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel, treating as a nullity the Baptism of Wesleyan Ministers. For instance, the Missionary of the S. P. G. at Lamaline, Mr. Rozier, is charged with baptising persons, who were already baptised by Wesleyan Ministers, years before any Church of England Clergyman was placed there, he alleging such previous baptism to be worthless.

This charge is not, and cannot, be denied, any more than other charges of misconduct, against the same *enlightened* Clergyman, who certainly never imbibed his Tractarianism at Oxford.

The Pamphlet, containing these various charges, it seems, having been brought, as they state, under the notice of the Committee of the Church Society, they submitted them to the investigation of their legal advisers, Messrs. Robinson and Hoyles; and, singular to say, although these gentlemen report, that they have investigated the charges, they refer to three only out of the whole number, and one of these three they greatly pervert and misstate.

The first charge they refer to, relates to Kirby's child, and they deny that there had been any refusal of Baptism—the child having been already privately baptized; but, they do not say that Mr. White had not refused the public christening or reception into the Church, which it appears, was the ceremony performed at Burin, though Kirby, an ignorant man, called it "Baptism." Well, if Kirby, or his wife, were refused the public christening, and in consequence carried the child to Burin, how can the learned Counsel fairly say the charge substantially is disproved?

They find a trifling flaw in the Indictment, and Lawyer like, they avail themselves of it without any merits for the benefit of their client.

As regards the sponsors "residing at Burin," of course they did. How could Kirby and his wife, who were paupers, prevail upon godfathers and godmothers, from Beaufet, to accompany them, sixty miles, in an open boat to Burin? Do the learned gentlemen think it was a party of pleasure on which they carried their child so great a distance upon the open sea, or that they would have travelled so far if they could have had the ceremony performed in their own Harbor?

The second charge, referred to by the Counsel, relates to the refusal of Mr. White to administer the Sacrament to Mrs. Kirby (the mother of the child just referred to,) without con-

fess
is n
tific
latte
she
her
the
last
and
(Em
hom
Mr.
o'clo
M
durin
in A
termin
to re
duty
Bu
solen
Char
page
circu
thoug
tion o
fession
the d
Tulk
lished
to Mr
Decla
them,
case,
who c
Let th
The
" that
to ligh
and on
of and
Now
to mag
Did th