

much support, the case is extremely rare that an American judge swerves from the straight path of duty. So, too, of your bar. Of course, there are black sheep in every flock, but I am well within the mark when I say that the black sheep in the American bar are not numerous, compared with other professions. I admire the ability which you apply to your cases, your determination to think of nothing except your client's right, and determination to win by means consistent with honor. It is said you are worshippers of the golden calf, but I have on many occasions pointed out that we are told, "The laborer is worthy of his hire." Everybody works for money. The doctors work for money. The farmer does not farm for altruistic reasons. The shop-keeper would close his doors if his bills were not paid, and I am reminded of the minister's child, who was asked if her father was going to accept a call to a larger church at a larger salary. "Well," she said, "Father is in the library praying for guidance, but mother has started packing up." All this has been said hundreds of times and still we hear the parrot-cry that lawyers work for money. It is well that we treat the charge with contempt.

But to whom much is given of them much will be required. You and I every day are applying the principles of justice to the determination of the rights of private individuals or corporations, or for the avenging of the wrongs of private individuals or corporations, their rights having been infringed by other corporations or private individuals. We are doing this on the principles of right and justice upon which your forefathers, and mine, for centuries have been willing that their rights shall be determined. Now, is there any more reason why such rights as these shall be determined in this manner than that the rights of nations shall be thus determined? Is there any more reason why the rights of private individuals should be avenged through and by the courts than that the wrongs of nations shall be avenged through and by the courts? Is there any more reason why men no longer should raise the club, sword, and gun in order to get that to which they are personally entitled, than that a nation should do the very same thing? Are we not, my friends, sadly blinded to a great part of our duty? No man is to be allowed to avenge his right by his own right arm, but that is to be left to an