



mugwump
journal
By Sheenagh
Murphy

Some people may be wondering what has transpired since the controversy over the Mervyn Franklyn affair reported last year. To refresh your memory, the Brunswickan reported that \$60,000 worth of equipment was being removed from the community by this gentleman and his wife (Franklyn became president of the University of Windsor). This was done with the kind permission of Dr. Anderson and The Brunswickan questioned the correctness of the decision. As a result of this affair it was decided that a set of rules or regulations to guide future decisions of this sort would be drawn up with Dr. D.F. Rowan (acting Dean of Graduate Studies) responsible in his capacity of chairman of the Advisory Committee on Research. I called Dr. Rowan the other night and was informed that a set of rules have indeed been drawn up. In conjunction with the Advisory Committee on Research, Dr. Rowan said at one meeting of the committee a draft proposal was submitted and then a revision proposal was done on that proposal. He said that the revised proposal will be placed before the next meeting which is tentatively scheduled for the end of February. I know that everyone will be delighted to hear that something is being done about the issue. The amount of time involved in getting this far is questionable.

What with Dr. Anderson leaving our blessed university at the end of his term there has been a lot of speculation as to who the new president will be. Unfortunately nobody seems to want to say too much. Dr. Israel Unger is chairman of the committee which is searching for a new president and when contacted he was notably restrained on the subject. Dr. Unger did say that the deadline had passed for nominations or applications and that the committee was currently screening those who had applied. According to another source the committee will be cutting down the list to "potentials" at which time the applicants will be screened by members of the Senate and Board of Governors (as well as various other "higher-ups"). This screening is expected to occur at a Board of Governors meeting.

It's interesting to note that the only people who have a chance to screen the applicants are members of the Senate and BOG (although others will have a chance, they are in the minority). A new president will affect the entire university community, he or she will affect, in some manner, the faculty, the staff, and students of this institution. I find it curious and distasteful that so few will in essence, choose our new president, or even get a chance to question the applicant. I believe, and I feel many would agree with me, that everyone, or at least a representative number of people from the university community should have a chance to ask a few questions.

While I am on the subject of the SRC I feel I must mention someone who has not been seen or heard from much (although he has been doing alot) that is, Geoff Worrell, SRC Comptroller. REST EASY Geoff, we here at the Bruns think you're doing a pretty good job. Although quiet, Geoff has been keeping a tight but very fair hand on the money matters of the SRC. So Geoff, feel proud for a job well done.

sound-off

CPs 'cause more shit'

Ms. Editor:

I wish to clarify a fact which appeared in Greg Foxe's letter last week concerning the "White Leather Macho Club" (alias our beloved Campus Police). Contrary to what Mr. Foxe believes, the Davy Jones Locker has not once hired campus police to work our pubs. We have, however, been participants in socials at some of the women's residences on and off campus where C.P.'s have been used. Mr. Foxe is correct,

however, in his assertion that "... more than once Jones House members had to risk their noses to pull a C.P. ass out of the fire which he had caused himself", for this has happened numerous times at these other pubs.

The "patrollers" who are employed at the Davy Jones Lockers are house members who know both our residence and its members. It is significant to note that the amount of damages caused at our Lockers are lower

than practically any pubs held on campus, which is mainly due to the fact that we don't hire C.P.'s, simply because they cause more shit than they prevent. It's too bad that the members of the "White Leather Macho Club" can't realize that UNB students won't take them seriously until they clean up their act.

Yours truly,
John Rick
President - Jones House

More from W.L. macho club

Dear Editor:

This letter is in response to an article last week entitled "White Leather Macho Club". Is it the Brunswickan's position to print articles written by people who don't exist: (no such person as Greg Foxe) and who's comments are erroneous? Is this responsible reporting?

Secondly, my own comments concerning the article that the mysterious Greg Foxe wrote. His apparent lack of knowledge of rules and regulations within the University and the ignorance of his own tact leave much to be desired.

His ability to be a junior psychologist in discussing our self-image seems only paralleled by his writing talents. They both need a great amount of improvement.

Voicing an opinion in the Brunswickan has its advantages, I only wish in this case, they had some merit. Let me explain this; he mentioned something about Davey Jones Locker pubs; very entertaining indeed. The Campus Police haven't been on duty at Jones House since 1976. This is hardly an up to date record of Campus Police activities!

Final comment: the Campus Police Executive are always available for consultation and are similarly open to suggestion. Therefore, "Greg Foxe", why not come and talk about your problems, you'll probably get better results.

Yours truly,
David M. Young
Chief of Campus Police

EDITOR'S NOTE: Mr. Foxe submitted his name and it is being withheld at his request.

Extrava-beginza had faults

Dear Editor:

I would like to address the organizer of Extrava-Beginza.

Maybe if you had had another week to work at getting this thing together, it might have been a success. As it was, however, there were just too many faults to overlook.

To start out, we arrived warmly dressed for the rather cold night only to discover there was no coat check. I found this almost unbelievable as the whole idea of the evening was to wander from floor to floor and listen to the three groups play. Lugging a winter coat around in one hand with a glass in the other for four hours gets a bit tiring. Also, the coat check would have increased the money coming in for the night if a meagre 25 cents was charged.

My next beef is concerned the set up of the groups. I realize that usually the most well known band is granted the top spot in the SUB, that being the ballroom. But when the band is Finnigan and the ballroom is the only one of the three areas practical for dancing, it's pushing it a bit to expect everyone to do an Irish jig all night. I talked to a lot of people who were really up for dancing but with Finnigan in the ballroom and the chairs lined up in rows concert-style, the idea was quickly squelched. Molly Oliver seemed to be the band most suitable for

dancing, so it was too bad they played in the cafeteria which was so crowded with tables and chairs that there was no room left to move with the music.

My final complaint was the organization of the sale of liquor and beer. There must have been some foresight as to the large number of people attending this event. However, there was only one booth at which to buy tickets and only one place to obtain liquor. Needless to say, the lineups were extremely long and I

think this could have been avoided.

I'm certainly not saying that no one there had a good time because there were many who were apparently oblivious to the things we found so annoying. In my opinion, however, the evening was a disaster which could have turned out to be a good time if a bit more thought had been put into the planning.

Jane Gorman

Who cares !?

Dear Ms. Ed:

I would like to make a brief comment about your article (Feb 2) regarding the "Moncton Rally" against the rise in tuition.

Is it possible that modern day students (U. de Moncton) actually rose off their "educated" behinds and tried to stir up some public awareness about that 'tiresome' rise in fees?

Is it possible that students actually boycotted classes for an important student issue?

Is it possible that over 400 students actually marched through Moncton — missing the best TV shows of the day!!!!?

Is it possible that 250 of these students actually got together for a general meeting without any

incentives like booze or dough-nuts? Are they nuts or something?!!

As a proud member of UNB, I would just like to congratulate my fellow students on refraining from any form of protest against the raise in tuition fees for the upcoming year. After all, we don't want to upset the government or administration or anybody. So what if our fees go up \$50 or \$100 dollars next year? It's nothing that five or six weeks summer overtime won't cure.

And what does it matter that some courses are going to be cutback and some professors laid off?

There are more important matters to be considered. Like moving CHSR off-campus.

Proudly,
Dave Malar