

one of which is a...
 The machine, which is the basis of the cold air supply in summer, the air pump, which supplies draft to the furnaces, so that coal screenings may be burnt, and to feed which the fireman has but to move a lever; the automatic machinery which opens and closes the elevator doors by the touching of a button; the air pump for the thermostat; the hydraulic air pump for the pneumatic clock system throughout the building; the pumps which feed water to the heater, where it is raised to 212 degrees before going into the boiler; the Tolly heater from which hot water is supplied to every lavatory in the building; and other accessories to one of the most complete heating, ventilating and lighting systems on the continent.

There is, too, a pump which will supply purposes of fire protection at a pressure of 250 pounds to the square inch to any part of the building. Another interesting feature is the water supply scheme, by which the water is filtered, cooled and then ascends once more into the realms of natural light we find ourselves at the main entrance, like unto the stars and lower halls, are of marble; the upper walls and ceilings are iridescent mosaic, in which are shown the emblems of the order—a Maltese cross, with a moose's head in the centre. It is needless to say the effect is gloriously beautiful.

TORONTO, Aug. 26.—It has been decided by a vote of 133 to 16 in the supreme court to extend to women the mortuary benefits of the Independent Order of Foresters. They may, however, pass the medical board on equal terms with men, and they are not admitted to sick benefits. Their courts must be separate and admit no male members, nor are they permitted to enter the courts having male members. The women are to be known as companion courts of the I. O. F., and it is to be optional with the members whether they apply for the insurance benefits or not. The following is the full text of the report which was adopted by the vote mentioned above:

Your committee on the admission of the Companions of the I. O. F. into the order have carefully examined the recommendations of the supreme chief rater, contained in his report, and have studied the statistics relative to women as insurance risks, and would recommend the following:—
 (1) That the Courts of Companions of the I. O. F. be granted charters by the supreme court and be placed on the same footing as the courts of the I. O. F., under the name and style of Companion Court—No. — of the I. O. F.
 (2) That the members of the Companion Courts be confined to women, while that of subordinate courts shall be as at present restricted to men.
 (3) That the mortuary benefits of the order be granted to such members of the Companion Courts as can be shown to be able to pass the medical board on equal terms with men.
 (4) That it be optional with the different high courts, to admit delegates from the Companion Courts in their jurisdiction.

port was adopted as stated.—It may be added that the supreme chief's report gave tables showing the mean annual death rate per 1,000 in England and Wales from 1881 to 1890 inclusive, taken from the report of the registrar general, and showing that the death rate was higher among men than women at every age up to 75 years, except at 15 years, when there was a difference of 0.11 in favor of males. The expectation of life, from the same statistics, also resulted in favor of female risks. From these and other tables given, the supreme chief argued that where equal care is medical selection is taken the mortality among female risks will be even less than among males. And this view prevailed in the court by an overwhelming majority.

It will be noted in the copy of the report given that Companion courts were referred to as already existing, though without the privilege of the mortuary benefits. And this is the fact. There are now no less than 192 of these Companion courts, with 6,126 members. They are found in Canada, though not yet in the lower provinces, and also in the United States and the old country. The banner state is Michigan, which leads by a very large majority. The members of these are not eligible if they desire it to undergo the medical test, and if this is satisfied they can be insured. But the insurance feature is optional, and its omission does not debar from membership.

This evening there was a meeting of the Companions in the splendid assembly hall of the new temple, and the ladies were out in force. They exemplified the ornate ritual of their order in a most impressive manner, even to the initiation of a candidate. The delegates to the supreme court were present by invitation, and there were happy speeches by the supreme chief, by Judge Wedderburn, P. S. C. R.; Victor Morin of Montreal, S. V. C. R.; John A. McGillivray, S. S.; Thos. Millman, S. Phyn; Hon. F. G. Stevenson of Michigan, S. C. R.; W. Greer of Ontario and Hon. Chas. Fitzgerald of New York, Supreme Auditors; Dr. Ward of London, Eng., P. S. C. R.; Dr. Banks, member of medical board. The formal dedication of the temple took place tonight in the presence of a brilliant assemblage, and was followed by a grand ball in the assembly room.

TORONTO, Aug. 26.—The most important legislation enacted at this session of the supreme court of the I. O. F. was disposed of today, when an advance of about fifty per cent. in the rates of assessment was agreed to by a vote of 125 to 19.

The increase does not apply to present members of the order, and along with the advance to new members there are compensating advantages, in which of course all members will share. These consist of old age pension benefits, a measure which has long engaged the attention of leading minds, including the Hon. Joseph Chamberlain, and total and permanent disability benefits. In the former case (old age) the member is entitled to draw the amount of his mortuary benefit certificate in annual instalments of one-tenth of the total. Thus, if he lives for ten years after being disabled by old age, he draws the whole of his insurance himself. In case of death, the balance unpaid goes of course to his beneficiaries. On the other hand, he can take the old age pension and funeral benefit. This provides a fixed pension per year and a funeral benefit of one hundred dollars, and involves the surrender of his mortuary benefit certificate. He gets the pension which is determined by a fixed scale, according to age and amount of insurance money in his certificate, and the one hundred dollars goes at his death to his representative or beneficiaries. But a member must have reached the age of seventy years before an old age benefit can be secured. In case of total disability from any other cause, at any age, the member gets one-half the amount of his mortuary benefit certificate, and is relieved from further payment of dues or assessments of any kind. The balance is paid at death to his beneficiaries. Of course ample provision is made for a thorough investigation in every case before any such benefits are granted. As Dr. Oronhyatekha observed when he was in St. John not long since, there will be very few who will not be entitled to a place on the total disability list at seventy years, and therefore the old age pension benefits will be practically available for all Foresters who reach that age. Perhaps the terms "his or her" should be used instead of "his" only, for of course the admission of women entitles them also to a participation in these benefits.

benefits. As to the death rate, they had no assurance that it would remain below six per 1,000. The low rates of assessment had been a positive hindrance to the growth of the order in the old country. They should now place themselves in a position to challenge the most searching criticism.

THE CZAR'S PEACE MESSAGE.
 MADRID, Aug. 30.—The Imparcial today, referring to the Czar's peace message, expresses the belief that his majesty's pronouncement can hardly come from a mere dreamer. It adds: "Reflection convinces us that it was issued only after consultation with President Faure and Emperor Wilhelm, and it foreshadows a period of great diplomatic activity."

THE CZAR'S PLAN APPROVED.
 LONDON, Aug. 31.—John Morley, the liberal member of parliament, former chief secretary for Ireland; Sir John Lubbock, the distinguished scientist and liberal unionist of parliament for London, and many other men of position in the political and scientific world, have expressed their approval of the czar's plan.

THE BRAYER LINE.
 The Liverpool Weekly Mercury, in a recent editorial, has many kind things to say of the efficacy of the present Canadian mail service as carried out by the Beaver line. The Canadian mail service has been regularly maintained, and the London-Yokohama mails have actually gained a day over the previous mail service. For letters leaving London a day later than the week have reached their destination just as early as was the case when the mail steamer left Liverpool on Thursday instead of Saturday. There are ample indications, too, that the owners of the Beaver line are working energetically towards improving the service of their steamers. Last November the Gallia was added to their fleet, and now the fine large steamship Tongarilo, formerly in the London and New Zealand trade, has displaced the Lake Winnipeg in the mail service, and we understand there is every prospect of other modern and speedy steamers being purchased, and the Beaver line making a bold bid for the renewal of the Canadian mail contract.

MISS BARTON'S DUTIES.
 WASHINGTON, Aug. 31.—Miss Barton probably will be obliged to pay the duties and fines levied by the Spanish officials of Havana upon the cargo of the relief ship Comal if she desires to secure the admission of the supplies to Havana and their distribution. The information that reached our government as to the imposition of these charges came from the British consul at Havana, who is charged with the care of American interests. After consideration, the officials have decided here that until the United States military commission, which is to arrange for the occupation of the island of Cuba, has discharged that duty, the administration of the Spanish laws by the Spanish officials must be respected. Unless further details change the aspect of the case, there will be no interference on the part of our government.

CHAMBERLAIN FOR NEW YORK.
 LONDON, Sept. 1.—Among the passengers on the White Star line steamer Majestic, from Liverpool for New York today, are Joseph Chamberlain, secretary of state for the colonies, and Mrs. Chamberlain.

Children Cry for CASORIA.



THE I. O. F. TEMPLE, TORONTO.

Age	\$500	\$1,000	\$2,000	\$3,000
18.....	3.38	6.76	13.52	20.29
20.....	3.39	6.78	13.56	20.34
22.....	3.40	6.80	13.60	20.39
24.....	3.41	6.82	13.64	20.44
26.....	3.42	6.84	13.68	20.49
28.....	3.43	6.86	13.72	20.54
30.....	3.44	6.88	13.76	20.59
32.....	3.45	6.90	13.80	20.64
34.....	3.46	6.92	13.84	20.69
36.....	3.47	6.94	13.88	20.74
38.....	3.48	6.96	13.92	20.79
40.....	3.49	6.98	13.96	20.84
42.....	3.50	7.00	14.00	20.89
44.....	3.51	7.02	14.04	20.94
46.....	3.52	7.04	14.08	20.99
48.....	3.53	7.06	14.12	21.04
50.....	3.54	7.08	14.16	21.09
52.....	3.55	7.10	14.20	21.14
54.....	3.56	7.12	14.24	21.19
56.....	3.57	7.14	14.28	21.24
58.....	3.58	7.16	14.32	21.29
60.....	3.59	7.18	14.36	21.34
62.....	3.60	7.20	14.40	21.39
64.....	3.61	7.22	14.44	21.44
66.....	3.62	7.24	14.48	21.49
68.....	3.63	7.26	14.52	21.54
70.....	3.64	7.28	14.56	21.59
72.....	3.65	7.30	14.60	21.64
74.....	3.66	7.32	14.64	21.69
76.....	3.67	7.34	14.68	21.74
78.....	3.68	7.36	14.72	21.79
80.....	3.69	7.38	14.76	21.84

Proportionate increases are of course made in the rates for the hazardous and extra hazardous classes of risks. No sooner had the motion to adopt this increased scale of rates been made than W. G. Phillips of Ohio rose to speak in vigorous opposition. He declared there was no demand for any additional benefits, and without them the present rates, backed by the enormous surplus, were high enough. An increase would make it better to get new members to join, and the success of the order depended on constant additions to the membership. The increase in the surplus appeared to him to be high enough. The rolling up of surplus in the past did not convince him that the present rates would

ACTING LIKE GREEN GOODS MEN.
 (Liberal Toronto Star.)
 The dominion should not repudiate at any one of its offices any token of security which the dominion has stamped with its own seal, and for which it has received hard cash. In the case of the Jubilee stamps the dominion government has stamped with its own seal, and for which it has received hard cash. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take them back at their face value. They are still good in payment of postage dues. They should not be repudiated as a result of a change of government. It is not a question of the value of the stamps, but of the right to issue any patrio-otic obligation not take