

the exception of the Supreme Court of Canada will have to become bilingual. As discussed at pp. 30-31 above, in my opinion this is not a legal requirement but a possible result of implementation of the Bill.

19. Section 8 of the Bill is paraphrased but no questions are asked.

20. It is noted that s.8 requires no less than what is presently being done in all federal courts. I agree with Mr. Wilson's assessment and point out that s.5(4) of the Official Languages Act S.C. 1968-69 c.54 [Tab 16] contains a virtually identical provision.

21. It is noted that French and English are established as the official languages of the federal courts. No questions are asked.

22. It is noted that Alliance Canada may have formed the view that all federally appointed judges have to be bilingual by virtue of s.14.

23. It is noted that s.14 would require bilingual capability of clerks, orderlies and reporters. I agree but would underscore that the Federal Government's position is that the capability must be in the institution and not in each employee. This is discussed a p. 30 above.

24. It is noted that s.15 requires that federal courts and tribunals have bilingual capability. This point is discussed at p. 30 above.

25. The fact that the bilingual requirement will not apply to the