

the united grain growers will be here. Also, we have requests from the Manitoba and Saskatchewan wheat pools.

Mr. ARGUE: The information I desire is the names of the people who want it relaxed, and although that information is not there, I think I can guess the names of the culprits.

Mr. McINTOSH: Is the interprovincial farmers union there as well?

The CHAIRMAN: Yes.

Mr. ARGUE: My question is: do you have any information?

Does the chairman have any information as to the organizations that wish to appear before this committee to put a brief in in opposition to the present system?

The CHAIRMAN: The clerk has just read the names.

Mr. ARGUE: But most of those are farm organizations which, I suggest, will be supporting the submission we have had already. Now, this is a simple question. If the chairman does not know, I will accept his answer. But my question is: does the chairman of the committee know what organizations have asked to appear before this committee in order to present a brief opposing the present system of handling grain for feed mills?

Mr. BRUNSDEN: You will know of them when they get here.

Mr. ARGUE: Do I take it that you do not know?

The CHAIRMAN: The minister has referred this subject to the committee for study.

Mr. ARGUE: You do not know which ones are putting in adverse requests?

The CHAIRMAN: No.

Mr. RAPP: Mr. Chairman, I would be very reluctant to have any changes made, in so far as deliveries, quotas, and so on are concerned. This, in my opinion, was strengthened when we met here, just a few days ago, with some of the United States congressmen and representatives. At that time the question of surpluses came up, and it was definitely established that the surpluses are not of a temporary but are of a long-term nature, and have to be looked at as a long-term problem. There is no doubt about it that the surplus is going to be higher this year than ever before. If we are going to be faced with the same problem, and with the problem of disposing of this surplus, there is no question about it—we have to have a quota system, as before, and it has to be under the jurisdiction of the Canadian wheat board. I, as a grain grower, would be very much opposed to having any changes made whatsoever from those which exist at the present time.

Mr. ARGUE: Hear, hear.

Mr. McINTOSH: As the wheat quotas were brought up—and I do not suppose it has too much bearing on the point in question—I would like to ask how many points in Saskatchewan are on a six-bushel quota, and in what areas. Are there any areas in southern Saskatchewan? Why the variation between northern and southern Saskatchewan?

Mr. McNAMARA: I have no objection to giving this information, although I would suggest this is dealing with the current operation of the board this year; it is really not related to this question.

Mr. JORGENSEN: I think it is.

Mr. McINTOSH: If you are suggesting that there should be no change in the quota, I do not agree with you.

Mr. ARGUE: You are not suggesting that this is the way to change it?

Mr. McINTOSH: I think it should be brought up for review, if the wheat board is not doing it correctly.