COYNE v. METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO.

649

Amount of Security.]-Motion by the defendants, under Con. Rule 1198(a), for an order requiring the plaintiff to give security for the costs of the action, which was brought to recover the amount of a policy on the life of the plaintiff's husband. The Master said that the plaintiff, after her husband's death, left Ontario and went to British Columbia. She made her affidavit of documents at Vancouver on the 17th October. So far as appeared, she had never returned to Ontario; and the affidavits filed in support of the motion made it reasonably certain that she did not intend to do so. The policy was for \$1,000. and the plaintiff's husband died 13 months after it was issued. Only \$43.65 was paid in premiums during the husband's life. The Master said, with regard to the amount of security, that it might be a question whether the defendants, if successful, would be bound to return the premiums. That could not be decided now: but the plaintiff would be entitled to the benefit of the sum of \$43.65; and should be allowed to proceed with the action on paying into Court \$150 or giving a bond for \$300, in the usual time. Michaelsen v. Miller, 13 O.W.R. 422, referred to. F. S. Mearns, for the defendants. H. H. Davis, for the plaintiff.