

the profound ignorance of legislators and the people; and not least, recognizing and sorely bewailing the establishment of delusive medical cults that grow as mushrooms, some encouraged by the church and others by those who, if not otherwise occupied, would find lodgments in homes for the "weak minded" or more closely guarded "retreats." One fact is this: the brooding nests of bribers and corruptionists of commercialized, so-called medical colleges are rapidly breaking up an existence—and report has it that one dozen "concerns" have, in consequence of these exposures, been compelled to go out of "business," inasmuch as they have befouled their own nests, while others are tottering in their shoes whether to make "good" or "shut up doors." Yet, not all is gloom. Minnesota and Michigan (especially the former—with its State university—and it alone controlling medicine) are proudly named as exemplars, and it may be said that not until each State and each Province shall have its university to control all that pertains to medicine and its graduates and all other State or Provincial medical colleges—(and the fewer the better)—will we be placed in the respect of the medical world.

The time will come that no Simon Jones Smith, however wealthy, and however that wealth was secured—either by pork, coal oil, soap or stocks, will be allowed to brand the name Simon Jones Smith on the charter of university as its founder and as a reminder and monument of Smith. No! Smith may be given a chance to build a college, but that college must be under State or Provincial university control, for the great educational movements must be controlled by wise legislators, even if Smith and others of his ilk—equal worshippers of their individual shrines—and their ill-gotten gains, in too many instances, should perish and die without monuments of brass or of classic walls. Concerning interests herein named, we have an abundant fund—having for many years been searching reports, and having been a fair observer, and have often lamented that our medical journals do not sufficiently consider the necessity of publication of subjects that peculiarly relate to medical education. When we consider that Collier, a few years since, and Carnegie very recently, have done work for us which we should have done, is it not a sad reflection? However, Dr. Geo. M. Gould, of Philadelphia, in his lengthy paper, "Vocation or Avocation?" has told us some stubborn facts and well worth study. Yet how few among us have read this able paper! How few have read "The Propaganda for Reform," issued by the *Journal of the American Medical Association*! How few have read, or care to read, "Bulletin Number Four" of the "Carnegie Foundation!" Brother, you can rest assured, if you are "too busy" to read, there