

called revelation, she lied about her own sickness, she lied about her age, and she lied about her friends when she sought to injure them, which she often did in a most unchristian manner. It is the teachings of such a woman that we are invited to "approach in the spirit of the honest learner, willing to receive gladly any ray of real light from whatever quarter it may come". One thing is certain that "ray of light" cannot come from Mrs. Eddy, who was either mentally deranged or a base fraud, as proven by her own writings. She may have been both, but she positively was the one or the other of these. Every page of her writings stamps her as grossly ignorant.

5. We now come to the reference to the speech by Senator John D. Works. We cannot give much space to this topic. The medical profession knows that the pretensions that such diseases as locomotor ataxia, cancer and organic heart disease were cured by Christian Science, are founded upon misconceptions. The Christian Scientist does not live who can go into the wards of any hospital and cure a genuine case of any one of these diseases. The answer may be made that the sufferer must become a true believer; and, through Christian Science, come into true accord with the divine mind. This is not the case, if we are to take Mrs. Eddy as authority, for this is what she states at line 7 of page 359: "I have healed infidels whose only objection to this method was that I as a Christian Scientist believed in the Holy Spirit, while they, the patients, did not." So one sees that Christian Science can heal against disbelief. This being the case, there is no excuse from Scientists not hastening to our hospitals and our asylums and setting all things right again. The fact is they cannot do it, except in those where suggestion may act.

Let us refer to a case given on page 23. It is that of a child of 3 or 4 years. Now, one so young could not exercise any faith in or knowledge of Christian Science, and, therefore, when cured of what was said to have been a malignant growth of the eye, the cure must have been brought about by the Scientist himself. The case got well in a few months. This clearly was some sort of vascular or inflammatory disturbance that underwent gradual recovery. That it was malignant no one will believe. As one reads through the cases reported in the speech, the ear-marks of neurosis, drink, drug habits, and wrong estimation stand out. The entire effort appears to us as falling far short of proving that Christian Science can cure organic diseases. Let us give a case. The woman had peritonitis. This was followed by what some doctor called rheumatism. Later still another doctor said she had neuralgia. Then some other physicians called the trouble neuritis. This in time, in the opinion of some other physician, became multiple neuritis. Finally, a consultation of physicians concluded that the disease was locomotor