The Monetary Times

Trade Review and Insurance Chronicle

Vol. 45-No. 17.

Toronto, Canada, October 22nd, 1910.

Monetary

PUBLISHED EVERY SATURDAY BY THE MONETARY TIMES PRINTING COMPANY OF CANADA, LTD.

-Fred W. Field.

The Monetary Times was established in 1867, the year of Confederation absorbed in 1860, The Intercolonial Journal of Commerce, of Montreal; 170, The Trade Review, of Montreal; and The Toronto Journal of Com

Great Britain United States and other Countries St. Months 2. 1.00 Three Months 1.00 ADVERTISING RATES ON APPLICATION.

MEAD OFFICE: Corner Church and Court Streets, Toronto. Telephone Main 7404, or Main 7405, branch exchange connecting all de

tern Canada Office: Room 404, Builders' Exchange Building, G. W. Goodall, Business and Editorial Representative. Telephone Main 7550itreal Office: B33, Board of Trade Building. T. C. Allum, Editorial Representative. Telephone M. 1001.

den Office: 225 Outer Temple Strand. T. R. Clougher, Business and 1001 of 1001.

All mailed papers are sent direct to Friday evening trains. Subscribers receive them late will confer a favor by reporting to the circulation sections.

PRINCIPAL CONTENTS OF THIS ISSUE.

Editorial	
Canada's Banking System	 -1713
Hudson Bay Developments	 - 1715
Hudson Bay Developments	 1716
Western Canneries Impudence	 1716
Vancouver's Hidden Treasure Company 3	 1716
Portugal's Financial Difficulties	 1731
Finance and Economics:	
New Mergers and Results	 1718
Compliment to Canadian Banking	
New Companies	1722
Another Merger	1723
Stock Exchanges:	
	1
	740-41
Bonds and Municipal Credit; Municipal Sinking Funds	1
Municipal Sinking Funds	 1717
Against the Municipal Bonus	 1723
Overseas Securities Market	
Debentures Awarded	 1732
Bond Tenders Invited	 1734
Commerce and Transportation:	
Willing to Build Atlantic Ships	 1720
Trade Benefits from Railroad Construction	 1722
Trade Relations With United States	 1726
	 1726
Insurance:	
To Improve Ontario's Insurance Department	 1727
Recent Fires	 1720
To Stop Fire Losses	 1730
King's Hall Fire, Montreal	*****

CANADA'S BANKING SYSTEM.

The monograph of Professor Johnson, of New York, on the Canadian banking system is regarded by the majority of our bankers as the most reasonable exposition of the subject yet written by an American. It was prepared for the use of the National Monetary Commission, which in the course of its labors is publishing a valuable banking and financial library. The Commission seek knowledge as to the world's banking systems with a view to improving that of the United States. In 1896 the Journal of Commerce of New York did similar work, and issued in four large volumes a history of banking in twenty different countries. Nothing resulted so far as any alteration of the United States banking system is concerned. The opinion of well-informed Canadian financiers is that no practical action will be taken as the result of the labors of the National Monetary Commission. And one may infer that this is probably a correct conclusion.

Of Professor Johnson's examination of our banking system, the opinion of Canadian bankers, broadly speaking, is that in theory the writer is largely, if not entirely, correct. But theory does not always agree with practice. Thus it is thought that had Professor Johnson the combined qualifications and knowledge of the professor and the practical banker, his paper would have been still more valuable.

With few exceptions, the points made in the monograph are favorable to the Canadian banking system. It is stated by him that Canada's financial position is of the strongest; that this country's immunity from financial distress is mainly due to the character of its banking system; that there is no likelihood that the Dominion will cease to draw capital from abroad; that the system was not created by lawyers or statesmen to meet a fiscal need of the government as was, for instance, that of the United States; that elasticity of the note circulation and the unity of the system are two features giving great worked their way up through all the grades of employ-

strength under the threat of panic; that the goodness of bank notes is unquestioned among the Canadian people,

The Canadian system, as Professor Johnson says, is a product of evolution. Our bankers and the public, we think, trust that it will always be so. In years past, it was thought that the present decennial revision of the Bank Act would one day cease, the idea apparently being that the system would have been pruned and, improved sufficiently to make further revision unnecessary. It is very doubtful whether this opinion is now held by either the government, the banks, or the public. The decennial revision gives an opportunity to cope with the new developments which must necessarily occur in a young ountry. It also affords an opportunity to check abuses if they exist. It seems likely, therefore, that for a considerable time the Canadian Bank Act will undergo revision every ten years. This system of evolution should from time to time ensure to Canada a good Bank Act,

The main criticisms made by Professor Johnson may be examined here. "The keynote of the organization of Canadian bank," he says, "is the centralization of responsibility. One man, the general manager, is supreme. Above him in authority, under the law, are the directors representing the stockholders. Below him is an army of employees, of whom all but two or three owe their positions entirely to his favor." It is possible that objection to this broad statement will be taken by Canadian bankers. Undoubtedly, there is centralization of responsibility, in which the general manager is largely interested, but it is very questionable whether he can be termed supreme. Important recommendations and opinions of general managers have doubtless often been amended, discarded or changed by the directorate. Professor Johnson admits that the general managers of our banks are, without exception, men who have been in the banking business since boyhood, and who have