Article 18 (3) of the Charter, which meant that this question would have to be decided by a two-thirds majority. In the calculation of a two-thirds majority only the "yes" or "no" votes are considered; abstentions are not included. Canada supported this "important question" resolution and voted against the proposal to seat the People's Republic of China. At the same time, it was indicated that Canada was willing to consider carefully any proposal to settle the question of Chinese representation equitably. Canada voted the same way each year until 1966.

Canada's Initiative

In 1966, the Canadian delegation again took an initiative on the question of Chinese representation in an attempt to end the impasse in which the United Nations found itself. For this purpose Canada had consulted closely with a number of governments on a suggestion that the political realities could be reflected by: (a) participation of the "Republic of China" in the General Assembly as representing the territory over which it exercised effective jurisdiction, (b) the participation of the People's Republic of China as a member representing the territory over which it exercised effective jurisdiction and (c) the participation of the People's Republic of China in the Security Council as a permanent member. In relation to this interim seating proposal, Paul Martin, the then Secretary of State for External Affairs, made it clear that the solution was in no way intended to imply the existence of two Chinas. It was simply recognized that the real situation was that there were two governments exercising control over two areas of territory, each claiming to be the government entitled to the Chinese seat in the United Nations.

Although Canada would have liked to see its proposal translated into a resolution, it was apparent that it would not be acceptable to the majority of the General Assembly or the parties immediately concerned. What emerged from the discussions was a proposal for the establishment of a committee to explore the whole question of Chinese representation and to make appropriate recommendations to the next session of the General Assembly. Canada supported this, but the Canadian Government did not consider that it represented much forward movement over the proposal which Canada had initiated in 1950. The proposal was, in the event, defeated.

In deciding on Canada's voting position on the resolution providing for the

seating of the People's Republic of Chiquesti it was considered that, having suggestindeed an interim seating of representatives i sign the two governments and having, in effechip. rejected both the existing situation athat i the solution proposed by the co-sponsort of of the resolution providing for the seatiture of the PRC, the Canadian position coursen best be reflected in voting terms by would abstention. In 1966, therefore, the Can dian vote on the resolution to seat tVotin representatives of the People's Republiver of China changed from a negative role buest an abstention. Canada continued to abeen stain in the voting on the resolution the vo 1967 and in the two subsequent yearthe P but continued to vote for the "importathe ve question" resolution. that 1

The Canadian effort of 1966 failmajor for two basic reasons: the unwillingneIn 19 of the parties concerned to accept a fopropo mula which would have permitted duRepul representation, and the inability of talthou international community to press such The solution in the light of the attitudes with those most directly affected. This resubecau led to the conclusion that, if it was avious possible to establish contact with thorta effective government of China throughty! multilateral action, consideration wourequire have to be given to a bilateral approacof the | 1 It was in this direction that the Canalia attitude was evolving. In May 1968, PrinChine Minister Pierre Elliott Trudeau declarof o that it was Canada's aim to recognize theopl People's Republic of China as soon legal possible and to enable that government ent t the s occupy China's seat at the UN. China

Reversing the order

resolu The order of business, it will be note a mu Negotiations with tlCana reversed. representatives of the People's Republin the of China culminated on October 13, 1)7 tant with the announcement of mutual recopurpo nition and the establishment of diplomatand r no lo relations.

After that announcement, the Centhe dian Ambassador to the United Nation would Yvon Beaulne, stated in the General Astate sembly, on November 13, 1970, that the senta Canadian Government believed that than in government of the People's Republic China should occupy the seat of China which the United Nations. Canada would, thereattitu fore, vote in favour of the resolution proward posing this. He added that Canada would t also vote in favour of the "important que Riche tion" resolution. But he emphasized the aftern Canada's vote on this resolution in the that past had not been a procedural tactic deeral signed to frustrate the will of the majorit Peop of the membership but that its purpostime, had been to ensure that a decision on it wo