

MONTREAL, April 16, 1849.

18.

The Inhabitants of the Talbot Settlement, or Southern Division of the County of Middlesex, have been agitating for many years to form a new County from the South Division of Middlesex. During the last Parliament in 1847, they presented a petition, with the signatures of about three thousand of the inhabitants, praying for a division of the County, and are now doing so by Petition. The Inhabitants of the North Division have admitted, during late years, that a division would and should take place, but were at variance amongst themselves as to the period when it should take place, and where the dividing line should be.

Last February some of the influential Inhabitants of the north part of the County and London held a meeting at the Town of London, at which the Warden of the County was Chairman, and James Daniels, Esq., Secretary. The Mayor of the Town, several of the merchants, professional gentlemen of London, Councillors of the adjoining Townships, and others, were present. The large room was crowded. *The division of the County, and what should be the dividing line, were fully discussed* and ultimately decided on, for judicial or electoral purposes, as running from east to west, by an amended resolution moved by Adam Hope, Esq., of London, detailing the line, expressing that the dividing line should be some distance south of the River Thames, because he did not expect any territory from the Huron District. I read the line to the Secretary as pointed out by Mr. Hope from the map on the table and Mr. Daniels inserted the same in the resolution, which amended resolution of Mr. Hope's

was agreed on and approved of by every person present from the north part of the County and London, and so carried by the meeting; only one gentleman in the meeting being in favour of a line from north to south.

The same question was the very same week discussed by the Municipal Council of the London District, in Session assembled. And the same line was then and there approved of, and by a resolution of that representative body unanimously adopted. Every Township was fully represented, the full number of Councillors and Wardens being present; and there was not one solitary dissenting voice amongst them. That same line has been inserted into the Bill for the division of the London District (by forming the new County of Elgin with St. Thomas for the County Town), now before the House of Assembly, and introduced by William Notman, Esq., the Representative of the County.

The above statement might justify me to solicit you to support the Bill; but to show further that the proposed division is fair and just, and that it does not in any manner interfere with or affect adjoining Counties.—not that the two Counties, that is, the old one and the new one will each have sufficient resources to sustain themselves,—I annex the following statistical information showing what the population, valuation of property, and local taxes are at present for the London District, or County of Middlesex, exclusive of the Town of London, namely:—

Population 40,872, Valuation of Property £547,792, Local Taxes £8,566, and which, by the dividing line agreed on, will be apportioned as follows:-

TO MIDDLESEX OR THE NORTH DIVISION.			TO ELGIN OR THE SOUTH DIVISION.				
	Population.	Valuation of Property.	District Taxes.		Population.	Valuation of Property.	District Taxes.
	£	£	£		£	£	£
Moss	1499	17783	349	Aldborough	934	12984	188
London	5286	69172	1167	Dunwich	1778	18503	294
Eckfield	1229	12859	213	Southwold	2964	56425	806
Barador	1715	20467	319	Yarmouth	4834	86143	1256
.....	1026	24347	404	Malahide	3250	41149	665
Adelaide	1514	15146	215	Bayham	3538	14241	706
Moselle	826	8235	141	Westminster {			
Williams	1140	13646	231	Delaware {	one-third,	3788	34757
Westminster {	two-thirds	376	69473	Dorchester .}			
Delaware			1107				
	20713	4250241	44083		20459	4297521	44461

(In comparing the above *population* with the Census of 1836, which you will find at page 12 in the Registration and Statistic Table, just published for 1849, you will there notice that, in 1836, the London District comprehended four Counties with a population of 47,095, namely—

Middlesex	291290
Norfolk	7742
Oxford	12067
Huron	2931

And in the same book, page 13, it will be shown that Middlesex alone had at the last Census, 1841, only 552 inhabitants less than the whole District with its four Counties had in 1836; and that Middlesex, even then, in 1836, had more than the half of the four Counties, and had more inhabitants than the other three Counties by one thousand and twenty-five Inhabitants. Nevertheless, in 1836, or the following year, each of these Counties was set apart as a separate District, with a population very different from the proposed County of Egham. And it will also be found, by a reference to the local taxes raised by the three last-named Counties or Districts at the time that they were separated, that their local taxes were not

only less than one-fourth of the present local tax for the London District, but also less than one-half of the local taxes now raised in the proposed County of Elgin.

By a reference to the *Statement of Public Accounts* for 1848, just published, and in Schedule 36, the last page in the book, it will be noticed that the District Tax in the Twenty Districts in Upper Canada averages to each £4,390; while it has been shewn above, that the District Tax for the proposed County of Elgin is £4,481; and the latter not only exceeds the average, but it exceeds the revenue of many of the Districts: for instance, it is *twice as large* as the District Revenue for the Huron, the Ottawa, or the Victoria; it is *one-third larger* than the Hastings, Cobourg, Dauphin, Prince Edward, or Talbot; it is *one-fourth larger* than the Eastern or the Simcoe; and about the *same amount* as the Brock, the Johnstown, the Newmarket, and the Western Districts, and which latter you will please to bear in mind is now under the operation by law, of forming the new District of Kent.

It will, from the above, be noticed that *only six Districts* in the Province have a local revenue exceeding the revenue of the proposed new County or District of