

Order Paper Questions

[Translation]

AGRICULTURE

FEED GRAINS—GOVERNMENT MEASURES TO ALLEVIATE
SHORTAGE—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE
MOTION

Mr. Eudore Allard (Rimouski): Mr. Speaker, under Standing Order 43, I ask the unanimous consent of the House to introduce an extremely urgent motion.

In view of the grain inspectors' strike, the impending end of the shipping season and the eventual scarcity of flour and feed grain in eastern Canada, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Bellechasse (Mr. Lambert):

That the government take immediately the necessary steps to cope with those conditions.

Mr. Speaker: The House has heard the motion of the hon. member. Under Standing Order 43, this motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there such a consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimous consent; the motion cannot therefore be put.

* * *

[English]

FORT-FALLS BRIDGE AUTHORITY ACT

MEASURE TO REMOVE REQUIREMENT FOR ACT OF U.S.
CONGRESS BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION

Mr. John M. Reid (Parliamentary Secretary to President of Privy Council) moved for leave to introduce Bill C-367, to amend the Fort-Falls Bridge Authority Act.

Some hon. Members: Explain.

Mr. Reid: Mr. Speaker, this bill will amend the Fort-Falls Bridge Authority Act to bring it into conformity with an enabling act passed by the United States Congress. There were some discrepancies between the two acts. This act will bring ours into line with theirs and allow a new bridge to be built at Fort Francis international falls.

Motion agreed to, bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

[Text]

Mr. John M. Reid (Parliamentary Secretary to President of Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: 105, 136, 146, 215, 233, 239,

[Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre).]

253, 285, 369, 379, 393, 407, 435, 436, 512, 546, 577, 579, 611, 623, 675, 678, 686, 697, 730, 768, 791, 820 and 867.

I ask, Mr. Speaker, that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

GOVERNMENT GRANTS TO CANADIAN COUNCIL FOR
RESEARCH ON EDUCATIONQuestion No. 105—**Mr. Allard:**

Does the government subsidize the Canadian Council for Research on Education and, if so (a) for how many years (b) through what department (c) what amounts were granted in 1971, 1972 and 1973 (d) did the government make a grant as capital for the Council at the time it was created or at some later stage of its development?

Hon. James Hugh Faulkner (Secretary of State): In 1971 the Canada Council gave a grant of \$1,637 to the Canadian Council for Research on Education for the organization of a conference on future co-operation among Canadian education organizations.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRY, TRADE AND COMMERCE
PERSONNEL IN RECEIPT OF REMUNERATION IN EXCESS OF
\$20,000Question No. 136—**Mr. Herbert:**

How many public servants in the Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce received T4 slips for 1973 showing gross remuneration in excess of (a) \$20,000 (b) \$30,000 (c) \$40,000?

Mr. Gaston Clermont (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): (a) 562; (b) 48; (c) One.

DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL DEFENCE PERSONNEL IN
RECEIPT OF REMUNERATION IN EXCESS OF \$20,000Question No. 146—**Mr. Herbert:**

How many public servants in the Department of National Defence received T4 slips for 1973 showing gross remuneration in excess of (a) \$20,000 (b) \$30,000 (c) \$40,000?

Hon. James Richardson (Minister of National Defence): (a) Over \$20,000—378; (b) Over \$30,000—19; (c) Over \$40,000—Two.

NATIONAL DEFENCE—STRENGTHS, 1972, 1973 AND 1974

Question No. 215—**Mr. Howie:**

What was the number of (a) the authorized strength (b) the actual strength of the Armed Forces on January 1 in each year 1972, 1973 and 1974?

Hon. James Richardson (Minister of National Defence): 1. (a) Authorized strengths of the Canadian Armed Forces are established on the last day of the fiscal year. For the years in question authorized strength was as follows: March 31, 1972, 81,350; March 31, 1973, 82,320; March 31, 1974, 82,320; (b) On March 31 of the same years the actual strength was: March 31, 1972, 82,879; March 31, 1973, 81,443; March 31, 1974, 80,639. The actual strength of the Canadian Armed Forces on January 1 for the years in question was as follows: January 1, 1972, 83,339; January 1, 1973, 81,359; January 1 1974, 80,519.