

4. Did CBC purchase advertising in December 1969 and January 1970, but paid for the advertising instead of concluding a contra-account advertising contract in other Montreal newspapers and, if so, for how many advertisements and for what total amount in each case?

5. What are the names of the heads of the radio and TV publicity departments mentioned in Part 5 of the answer to Question No. 998?

[Translation]

Hon. Gérard Pelletier (Secretary of State): I am informed by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation as follows: 1. Broadcast time granted to Quebec-Press in December 1969 and in January 1970: CBFT—Montreal, 30 advertisements of 30 seconds each; CBVT—Quebec City, 23 advertisements of 30 seconds each; Total: 26 minutes and 30 seconds.

2. The time was apportioned between December 18, 1969 and January 31, 1970 as follows: CBFT, 25 class A advertisements at \$205; 5 class B advertisements at \$130; CBVT, 7 class A advertisements at \$128; 11 class C advertisements at \$77; 5 class D advertisements at \$51.

3. The Corporation did not sign any contra-account contracts with other Montreal newspapers in December 1969 and January 1970, but used existing contracts and obtained contra-account advertising as listed below: *La Presse*, for a value of \$726; *Journal de Montréal*, for a value of \$2,570; *Montréal-Matin*, for a value of \$582.50; *TV-Hebdo*, for a value of \$16,975; *Publications Peladeau* for a value of \$5,825.65; *La Patrie*, for a value of \$1,462.50; *Placedart*, for a value of \$400.00; *Hebdos A-1*, for a value of \$12,839.25; *Dimanche Matin*, for a value of \$4,621.50; *La Semaine*, for a value of \$570.00; *Actualité*, for a value of \$2,580.00; *Vie et Carrière*, for a value of \$1,085.00; *Echos-Vedettes*, for a value of \$1,800.00; Total: \$52,037.40.

4. Yes, because existing contracts had expired. As follows: *Télé-Press*, 4 advertisements, \$1,020; *La Presse*, 28 advertisements, \$9,800; *Spec*, 6 advertisements, \$2,750; *Montréal-Matin*, 30 advertisements, \$5,243; *Journal de Montréal*, 8 advertisements, \$846; *La Patrie*, 1 advertisement, \$600; *Petit Journal*, *Photo-Journal* et *Dernière Heure*, 1 advertisement, \$1,430.

5. Pierre Therrien is Supervisor of Publicity-Television and Gaston Lebarbé is Supervisor of Publicity-Radio.

22375—41

Questions

[English]

*FOOD PRODUCTS SUBJECT TO FEDERAL SALES TAX

Question No. 1,672—**Mr. Haidasz:**

1. Which food products are still subject to federal sales tax?

2. What was the revenue to the federal government in collecting federal sales tax on these food items in 1967, 1968 and 1969?

3. To what extent has the latest International Sugar Agreement contributed to the increase in the price of these food products?

4. When will the government remove the federal sales tax from these foods?

[Translation]

Mr. Yves Forest (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, the answer to this question seems to me rather lengthy; the House would perhaps agree that it be printed in *Hansard* and deemed to have been read.

Mr. Speaker: Is that agreeable to the House?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

[Editor's Note: The above-mentioned answer is as follows:]

1. Excluding alcoholic beverages, carbonated beverages, and candy, the main products, generally regarded as foods, which are subject to sales tax are: margarine (except when for consumption in the Province of Newfoundland); fruit drinks, fruit juices and fruit nectars which do not consist of at least eighty-five per cent of the pure juice of the fruit; certain diet foods and substitutes for sugar and for dairy products; processed nuts.

2. Information is not available concerning sales tax paid on particular products. It is estimated that revenue from taxation of the above-mentioned products, excluding alcoholic beverages, carbonated beverages and candy, in the 1969-70 fiscal year was \$6—\$8 million.

3. Because of the limited sugar content in these products, the increase in cost attributable to sugar is negligible.

4. This is a matter of government policy. If the government should decide to propose an amendment to exempt any product from sales tax it will be announced at the appropriate time.

[English]

ACCIDENTS ON LIQUID PRODUCTS PIPELINES

Question No. 1,686—**Mr. Mather:**

1. During 1968 and 1969, how many operational accidents occurred on liquid products pipelines?