

Public Order Act, 1970

I quote further:

Because in the Parti québécois, there are honest men and a good many more than in other parties, because the Parti québécois represents the first attempt at democratizing parties.

According to these people, destruction will settle the problem. We must then vote for the Parti québécois—

—because the Parti québécois at least allows the achievement of political independence for Quebec, a prerequisite to its total liberation. Because, as compared to the other dirty parties, the Parti québécois looks far cleaner. Because we must settle this real quick and get rid of the clique of dispensers of patronage of old-line parties.

This is written in a newspaper. And I am not the one who printed it? René Lévesque is aware of all this. Why is he picking on everybody? He is the one who acted, not us. Terrorism will spread throughout Canada if our government does not assume its responsibilities.

My colleagues have strongly emphasized the importance of economic reforms. The intentions of the Quebec and federal governments are not good enough. Canadians are not asking for intentions, they want results.

The people of Canada want to have the right to live in peace, to be treated in all fairness, to be free and secure. That is what we care for. Unless the people get what they want, we shall experience more trouble within the next few months.

There is talk about checking unemployment and, at the same time, the number of unemployed goes up. There is talk about giving some hope to our young people when there is no hope. There is talk about giving the family a normal status which would enable it to develop normally in a normal society; nevertheless, in the province of Quebec at this moment, there are 175,000 jobless. Something like 140,000 families are living on social welfare allowances.

Students want to be allowed to earn a living after they finish school but they cannot find jobs. Last summer, at least 400,000 students could not find a job to enable them to go back to school. These students would be quite ready to integrate into our society, but unfortunately, they have no opportunity to do so. Should we wonder then when we see young people rebelling against this society into which they cannot integrate?

Let us reform what needs to be reformed. Surface reforms are not what the people need. I saw the honourable Minister of Regional Economic Expansion (Mr. Marchand) make fun of Social Credit solutions. However, this morning I read in an editorial by Alain Guilbert published in a newspaper which is not in favour of Social Credit, namely, Sherbrooke's *La Tribune*:

For Quebec, the deterioration in the social climate will be all the more tragic as we know its potential effects, namely, the recurrence of terrorist movements such as the Front de Libération du Québec—The solution is not an easy one, but perhaps we should examine Social Credit proposals seriously.

Instead of deriding them, why not examine them? Is it jobs that people are asking for, or money to buy existing products? Let us distribute the money to Canadians and enable them to buy those products, and then the Canadian economy will become prosperous again.

[Mr. Caouette.]

• (4:50 p.m.)

PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

[English]

SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE DEBATED

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. It is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 40, to inform the House that the questions to be raised tonight at the time of adjournment are as follows: the hon. member for Moose Jaw (Mr. Skoberg)—Consumer Affairs—farm machinery price increases proposed by White Motor Company; the hon. member for Lotbinière (Mr. Fortin)—Canadian Broadcasting Corporation—Appointment of Royal Commission on Attitude towards recent events in Quebec; the hon. member for Brandon-Souris (Mr. Dinsdale)—Agriculture—Alleged loss of Quotas by Western Canada Dairy Farmers.

The House will now proceed to consideration of Private members business as listed on the order paper, namely, Notices of Motions, Papers.

Mr. J. A. Jerome (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, are we to deal with Notice of Motion No. 51 in the name of the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles)? I have not observed, Sir, whether we have already stood the motions which preceded that.

Mr. Speaker: Notice of Motion No. 34 in the name of the hon. member for Winnipeg North (Mr. Orlikow).

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Stand.

Mr. Speaker: Notice of Motion No. 60 in the name of the hon. member for Vancouver-Kingsway (Mrs. MacInnis).

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Stand, Mr. Speaker, and the next several motions will all stand.

Mr. Speaker: I understand there are a considerable number of motions standing in the name of the hon. member for Vancouver-Kingsway subsequent to No. 60. Is it agreed that these stand?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

PRIVATE MEMBERS' MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

HEALTH AND WELFARE

WELFARE AND INCOME SECURITY—REPORT OF DR. J. W. WILLARD

The House resumed, from Thursday October 29, consideration of the motion of Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of any reports or reviews with regard to social welfare legislation and income security prepared by or under the direction of Dr. J. W. Willard, Deputy Minister of Welfare for Canada, since June 25, 1968.