ing 1d. per pint), at from 3d. to 3½d.; dried herrings, butter, new milk, cheese and beef, at from 4d. to 4½d.

## As to the Nitrogen.

As the relative quantity of nitrogen to carbon is not high in bread, we shall find that numerous articles of food offer the nitrogen at less cost than bread, whilst at the same time the extreme variation from bread is in reference to the nitrogen. Thus, butter milk (costing &d. per pint) will give the standard quantity of nitrogen for id.; skimmed milk (costing ad. per pint), peas, and South American beef, at from 1d. to 1d.; skimmed milk, cheese and maize, at about 1d.; butter milk and skimmed milk, each costing 1d. per pint, and bar-ley meal, at 1d.; oatmeal, fresh herrings and liver, at from 4d. to 1d; fine flour, green vegetables (costing 4d. per lb.); dried herrings, new milk, and skimmed milk (each costing 1d. per pint), and bones at from 1d. to 11d.; new milk cheese, at a little more than 12d.; green vegetables (costing 1d. per lb.); potatoes costing 1d. per lb.; beef and new milk costing 2d. per pint, at from 2d. to 3d.; mutton, pork and green bacon, at from 3d. to 4d., dried bacon, 5½d., and tea at 20d.

These with other facts are contained in the fol-

lowing table:-

TABLE, showing the quantity of Carbon and Nitrogen centained in 1d. worth of various foods at the prices annexed, and also the variation from the pennyworth of various foods to supply as much Carbon and Nitrogen as are contained in one pennyworth of bread (the standard quantity)

		_ <u>-</u> _			·	
F00D.	Costing.	Carbon for 1d.	Nitrogen for 1d.	Variat'n from cost of 1d. to supply the stand'dquan- tity of 1450 grains of carbon and 66 grs. of nitrogen. Carbon. Nitrog'n		
Bread Fine Flour Barley Rice Rice Catmeal Maize Peas Potatoes Potatoes Green Vegetables Butter Lard Dripping Suet Sugar Treacle Beef Mutton Pork Liver Bones Bried Engl'h bacon Green Amer. bacon Dried herrings Fresh herrings Fresh herrings Fresh herrings New milk New milk Skimmed milk Skimmed milk Skimmed milk Butter milk Butter milk Butter milk Butter milk Skim'd milk cheese New Milk cheese New Milk cheese	d. 1 per lb. 2 1	1,450 1,330 2,500 1,518 2,800 1,820 1,540 820 1,640 822 746 651 622 746 415 440 1,666 510 918 352 481 918	Grains 66 60 93 35 76 121 170 49 241 56 28 23 20 18 72 44 22 24 44 262 88 122 40	d	d	
Tea	3 per oz.		8.3	******	20∙0	

I have only now to offer an apology for the length of this communication, and to state that with the information obtained I shall be prepared to consider the combinations of foods in private and public dietaries, should an opportunity be offered to me.

## Discussion.

Dr. Lankester (responding to the invitation of the chairman) said they must all feel the importance of this subject, and they were much indebted to Dr. Edward Smith for bringing it before the At the same time he felt that the great food question could not be decided merely by a few experiments. This was a subject to be treated with the greatest caution, and all that had been done hitherto, only served to indicate the direction in which further inquiry must go. Our government had been lately paying attention to this question, especially by means of that Committee before which Dr. Edward Smith himself had given evidence, but he (Dr. Lankester) must say that, in certain practical departments, the Government had paid little or no attention to this matter. He formerly held the office of Superintendent of the Food Museum at South Kensington, but he felt bound to say no encouragement was given him or the other officers of that department in the proper development of it. Enormous sums had been expended in the purchase of works of art which, in his opinion, were of little value as compared with the more important matter of the food of the people: and every effort appeared to be made to suppress the development of that department of the museum. Dr. Edward Smith had rather disparaged the experiments of Liebig and his school, but he (Dr. Lankester) must say they were deeply indebted to that great chemist for the light he had thrown upon the subject of chemical physiology; and though Dr. Smith was inclined to disregard the distinction drawn by Liebig between heat-givers and flesh-formers, yet he (Dr. Lankester) thought there was no better mode of describing those articles of food which supplied carbon and those which supplied nitrogen. Dr. Smith appears to have ignored hydrogen, which was a powerful heat-giving agent as well as carbon; consequently when the hydrogen derived from such food as fat and butter was disregarded, a false view of the value of those articles of diet was arrived at. The fact was Liebig was correct when he stated that the value of butter and fats in relation to sugar and starch as heat-givers was as 2½ to 1. Our knowledge of the action of various foods was one to which further contributions were constantly being made. regard to the influence of alcohol, a subject treated by Dr. Smith before this Society two years ago, the experiments of M. Baudot had materially modified the conclusions arrived at by Messrs. Lallemand and Perrin, and this showed how carefully this subject of food should be approached. He had been at some pains to consider some of the practical questions with which Dr. Smith had more particularly dealt. With regard to the question of brown bread, he could say he had eaten it himself regularly for the last 20 years, with considerable advantage; at the same time, he was free to confess that upon its introduction into families there was a distaste manifested towards it by children, which he was at a loss to account for. With reference to the point urged by Dr. Smith, that brown bread