16

be

it

ed

₽d

ıd

to

)e

ıe

h

ŀh

ns al

15,

n n, Mr. Moss, from the same committee, reported on the results of the first and second year's supplemental examination in the Law School.

Dr. Hoskin, on oehalf of the Discipline Committee, moved the adoption of their Report on the complaint of Pierce against Messrs. Schoff and Eastwood solicitors, as follows:

(1) Your committee proceeded with the investigation in accordance with the prac-

tice in such matters.
(2) That on said investigation the petitioner was represented by counsel, Mr. Schoff was represented by counsel, and Mr. Eastwood appeared in person. The petitioner and Mr. Schoff were also present.

(3) That witnesses were examined and counsel and Mr. Eastwood heard by your

committee.

(4) Your committee find, as to Mr. Eastwood, that no case has been made against

(5) As to Mr. Schoff, your committee find that he has been guilty of professional misconduct and conduct unbecoming a solicitor, and the committee recommend that he be called before Convocation, and that the Treasurer do reprimand him for his misconduct aforesaid.

(6) The committee send herewith, for the information of Convocation, the evi-

dence, papers, and documents produced before them.

Mr. Schoff, in pursuance of the order in that behalf, then appeared in Convocation. The above Report was then read over to him. Mr. Schoff, having been asked whether he had any observation to make to Convocation, expressed his regret that he should have been guilty of what he now recognizes as a breach of professional duty, in acting for both borrower and lender without the knowledge of both parties, and in not communicating the fact that a portion of the money was applicable towards the payment of a debt due to himself by the borrower. Mr. Schoff then withdrew.

Mr. Meredith then moved, in amendment, as follows:

That the Report be amended by inserting therein the following findings: That Mr. Schoff made a loan for a client of his to another client, upon a second mortgage, without communicating to the lender that he was acting for the borrower, but not concealing the fact with any fraudulent intention; that a portion of the loan, amounting to nearly one-half, was, without the knowledge of the lender, applied in paying a debt due to Mr. Schoff and his firm by the borrower; and that Mr. Schoff was thereby guilty of conducting a solicitor; and that, as so amended, the Report be adopted. Carried.

Mr. Schoff was then called in, and the resolution of Convocation amending the Report read to him. The Treasurer then reprimanded Mr. Schoff in accordance with the Report as adopted after the foregoing amendment.

Mr. Watson, from the Joint Committee composed of the Finance and Reporting Committees, reported as follows:

The Joint Committee to which was referred the question of printing and publication to the profession of the Rules of court hereafter promulgated beg to report that, having considered the matter, your committee is of opinion that the Rules, as promulgated in future, should be printed under the direction of the Law Society, for distribution to the members of the profession, and that the editor-in-chief and reporters should be directed to attend to such printing and publication, and that the distribution should be with the issue of the first number of the Reports after such publication, on separate fly-leaf, beginning with Rules promulgated after first September, 1894. And your committee is of opinion that the republication by the Society of all Rules since consolidation should be considered by Convocation.

Mr. Watson moved the adoption of the Report, and that it be referred back to the committee, with power to deal with the matter and act thereon without report.