Supply NAYS Messrs

Andre Gilchrist McKenzie Greenaway McKinnon (Nepean-Carleton) Gurbin McKnight Beatty McLean Gustafson Blenkarn Halliday McMillan Bosley Hamilton Mitges (Qu'Appelle-Moose Bradle Munro Cardiff Mountain) (Esquimalt-Saanich) Carney (Miss) Clark Hamilton (Swift Current-Maple Neil (Yellowhead) Creek) Nickerson Clarke Hargrave Nielsen (Vancouver Quadra) Hawkes Nowlan Oberle Cook Hnatvshvn Paproski Cooper Patterson Howie Corbett Huntington (St. Catharines) Crombie larvis Crosbie Roche Jelinek (St. John's West) Schellenberger Kempling Crosby Kilgour Scott (Halifax West) (Hamilton-Wentworth) King Crouse Korchinski Dantzer (Victoria-Haliburton) Kushner Darling Lambert Dick La Salle Siddon Dinsdale Lawrence Speyer Domm Ellis Stevens Lewis MacDonald Stewart Elzinga (Miss) Taylor Thacker Epp Fennell MacKay Malone Thomson Forrestall Mayer Towers Fraser Mazankowski Vankoughnet Fretz McCain Wenman Wilson McCuish Wise Gamble McDermid Gass Wright-100. McGrath

(2310)

[Translation]

Madam Speaker: I declare the motion carried.

Vote 1 agreed to.

[English]

Mr. Deans: Madam Speaker, I wonder if I might again seek the unanimous consent of the House to consider Vote No. 11 to have the same count as No. 10, and to consider Votes Nos. 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20, and 22 the same as Vote No. 9.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Madam Speaker: Does the House give its unanimous consent that this motion apply to the votes mentioned by the hon. member for Hamilton Mountain?

Mr. Nielsen: Madam Speaker, I wonder if the hon. member would go through those numbers again?

Mr. Deans: Madam Speaker, I would like to have the following consideration, that Vote No. 11, Vote No. 17 and Vote No. 21 be considered the same as Vote No. 10.

Mr. Nielsen: Madam Speaker, I am afraid that was a different proposition than the one I heard the first time. If the hon. member would get his act together and make a proposal, I will consider it.

Mr. Deans: Madam Speaker, I am trying hard not to play games. Let me make the proposal I made before, that Vote No. 11 be considered the same as Vote No. 10, that Vote Nos. 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20 and 22 be considered the same as Vote No. 9.

Madam Speaker: That is not quite the same proposal as the first one. Perhaps we can repeat it and hon. members might agree to it. It is that Vote No. 11 be considered the same as Vote No. 12—

Some hon, Members: Ten.

Mr. Deans: Madam Speaker, the vote we have just taken was Vote No. 10. I would like to suggest for consideration that Vote No. 11 be considered—

Madam Speaker: I am sorry. The vote we have just taken was Vote No. 11. It was credit L40 in the amount of \$299,100,000. That is the vote we have just taken.

Mr. Deans: Madam Speaker, I am very sorry, but could I just ask for guidance. What became of Vote No. 10?

Madam Speaker: Order, please. Will the hon. member give me two seconds. The numbers on this page do not correspond to the numbers I am getting when I am proposing the motions. I will just straighten this out. My memory serves me better than this sheet. We have just voted on credit L40 in the amount of \$229,100,000.

Mr. Nielsen: That was \$299 million.

Madam Speaker: Two hundred and ninety-nine million. That was No. 10.

Mr. Nielsen: Madam Speaker, this is the last remaining element of control that this Parliament has over expenditures. It is sufficiently important to exert that control by voting separately on each one of these motions.

MOTION FOR CONCURRENCE IN VOTE 10, DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

Hon. Donald J. Johnston (President of the Treasury Board) moved:

That Vote 10, in the amount of \$1,119,000 under the Department of Finance—Inspector General of Banks—Program expenditures, in the Main Estimates for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1983 (less the amount voted in Interim Supply), be concurred in.

Madam Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Madam Speaker: All those in favour of the motion will please say yea.

Some hon. Members: Yea.