I can, at any moment, furnish the correspondence alluded to, if called upon to do so.

### I have the honor to be, Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

G. MURRAY JARVIS.

HON. MINISTER OF

ł

# G. Murray Jarvis to Sir Alexander Campbell.

### OTTAWA, 12th SEPT., 1879.

SIR,- Will you allow me one more chance of removing from your mind the idea that my son is guilty of the charges brought against him by Mr. Griffin in December last. I ask this favor in consequence of your last letter to Judge Gwynne on the subject, and which conveyed to me through him your final decision, having been written in *Toronto a* day previous to the date of my letter which contained the refutation of the three distinct charges set forth in your former letter, and upon which you had formed an unfavourable opinion.

I enclose a copy of a circular letter which I have addressed to each of the members of the Privy Council in hopes that the Government may be induced to look more fully into the matter which is of too serious a nature to be allowed to lay dormant until every possible means is resorted to, to have the truth arrived at.

The circular letter is sent without the slightest intention of giving offence to any one, and I trust you will consider it in this light.

#### G. MURRAY JARVIS.

# Sir Alexander Campbell to G. Murray Jarvis.

# OTTAWA, SEPT. 17th, 1879.

DEAR SIR, -I beg to acknowledge the receipt this moving of yours of the 12th, with the copy of the circular which you tell me you have addressed to each member of the Privy Council on the subject of your son's case.

I regret to find the statement inaccurate, in fact—my judgment was not formed, as you state, "upon the opinion of the Deputy Post-"master-General and other officials in the Post Office, persons who were "the original instigators of the trouble, and to whom alone I have referred "for report." I had taken special pains on the contrary to procure the opinions not only of these officials but of every one who had any opportunity of knowing anything of the circumstances. Nor is the statement correct in fact, in setting forth that Judges Gwynne and Henry