

Government Orders

My next point of order has to do with the language being employed by *Journals* in the title of notices going out with respect to the special joint committee which is considering the government's constitutional proposals.

In the order setting up the committee, it is referred to as the special joint committee and then there is a rather lengthy description of what the committee will be considering. But in the *Journals* titling of the notices, we find this special joint committee referred to as the Special Joint Committee on a Renewed Canada.

• (1020)

There has been no decision taken or no process followed by which to arrive at a description of this committee as the Special Joint Committee on a Renewed Canada. The purpose of the committee is to consider whether or not these proposals will in fact lead to a renewed Canada and whether the judgment of Canadians will be similar to that of the government, that these proposals will lead to a renewed Canada. It may be unintentional, I am sure it is, but there is an element of presumption, almost of propaganda to put it pejoratively—but I don't mean it quite that way—in the title of the committee. I think this kind of liberty should not be taken by whoever has taken this liberty of describing the committee in this way, and I would hope that more technical and objective and non-loaded ways of titling committees could be formed. Again, this is a new practice, in my experience, that this way of describing committees might be employed.

I would ask you to look into it and perhaps to report back to the House as to what could be done to prevent this sort of thing in the future and to do something about the naming of this specific committee.

Mr. Speaker: I can assure the hon. member that I will look at the matter immediately. If it is appropriate to stay with the more technical name, that will be done; in the meantime, I will consider the situation. I think it should also be clear, of course, that the committee could, if it wished I suppose, and if that was done in the appropriate way within the committee, come up with a name which met the approval of the committee.

However, I accept what the hon. member has said, that that has not yet been done and I will look into it.

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

[*Translation*]

PUBLIC SECTOR COMPENSATION ACT**MEASURE TO ENACT**

Hon. Gilles Loiselle (President of the Treasury Board and Minister of State (Finance)): moved that Bill C-29, an Act respecting compensation in the public sector of Canada and to amend another Act in relation thereto, be read the third time and passed.

—He said: Mr. Speaker, on the occasion of third reading of Bill C-29, an Act respecting compensation in the public sector, I would ask all members of the House to debate this bill as quickly as possible, so that it can be considered and passed by the Senate. Thus Canadians will again be able to receive essential services and everything will go back to normal.

[*English*]

Picketing by the Public Service Alliance has become more violent and aggressive each day. Services to Canadians are being increasingly disrupted and Canada's international reputation as a reliable supplier of grain to the rest of the world is again being threatened. But Public Service Alliance picket captains and some members continue to disregard a ruling made on September 23 by the Public Service Staff Relations Board to, and I quote:

—stop from interfering with, impeding or preventing designated employees from reporting to work.

It appears that alliance leaders have very little respect or regard for the law. Having been told to stop illegal strike activity by this agent of Parliament, the alliance has chosen to ignore the ruling and to embark on still more illegal activities.

I wonder if alliance members are really proud that they have been able to shut down of the port of Vancouver. I wonder how many alliance members are proud that the union has been able to prevent nurses from entering the veterans' hospital at Ste. Anne de Bellevue to care for their patients, many of whom are seniors.

The union leadership does not seem to mind that the livelihood of many of our farm producers is being threatened by their strike activities. I would like to remind hon. members that the plight of these same farmers was described in desperate terms by opposition