

# QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY JOURNAL

VOL. XXVI.

KINGSTON, CANADA, Nov. 26TH, 1898.

No. 2.

## Queen's University Journal.

Published by the Alma Mater Society of Queen's University  
in Twelve Fortnightly Numbers, during  
The Academic Year.

ROBT. BURTON, M.A.,	- -	Editor-in-Chief.
G. H. WILLIAMSON	- -	Managing Editor.
J. A. MCCALLUM, '99,	- -	Editor for Arts.
G. R. LOWE, B.A.,	- -	Editor for Divinity Hall.
A. W. RICHARDSON, B.A.,	- -	Editor for Medical College.
J. D. CRAIG, B.A.,	- -	Editor for Science Hall.
MISS E. MCLENNAN,	)	Editors for Ladies' Column.
MISS U. MACALLISTER,	)	
R. B. DARGAVEL, '99	- -	Business Manager.
L. M. MACDONNELL, '01	- -	Asst. Business Manager.

**The Business Manager is in the Sanctum on Mondays and Wednesdays from 11 to 12 to receive Subscriptions.**

**Subscription \$1.00 per year; 10 cents single copy.**

All literary contributions should be addressed to the Editor,  
Drawer 1109, Kingston, Ont.

All communications of a business nature should be addressed to the Business Manager.

**W**E desire to call the attention of our readers to Dr. Watson's address delivered in Convocation Hall on Nov. 6th, and published in this issue. This address should be pondered over by every student in Canada and by the alumni of all our colleges, for it sums up and presents in concrete form the spirit of true Canadianism which every university should foster in its students. By enlarging the JOURNAL we are able to present the address in full in one issue, and also a picture of Dr. Watson, who has now filled the chair of moral philosophy in Queen's for twenty-seven years. Few men have been less in the public eye than he, and yet it is not too much to say that the higher intellectual life of our Alma Mater finds its dominant note in him. The honor philosophy course is the aspiration, as it is the despair, of most of the students for the church. Moreover it is not difficult to discern that his incisive reasoning, and his comprehensive grasp of the deepest problems of life have impressed themselves upon other and younger professors, to their advantage and ours. To thus impress oneself upon the life of a university for so many years, and to give the right impulse to the thought of the hundreds who go out from its halls into the active duties of citizenship—is this not patriotism of the noblest type?

Probably the universal sentiment of the students, regarding the Sunday afternoon addresses in Convocation Hall, is one not only of appreciation but of gratitude to the Senate for inaugurating them and maintaining them so long, and on so high an average plane of religious thought. In no other University in Canada, so far as as we are aware, are such services held, and in few could they have been continued without enforcing a rule of compulsory attendance. But this makes it all the more wonderful that every student of Queen's, not directly engaged in work that he considers more incumbent on him, does not feel it a privilege and a duty to be present. They are not for the public but for the students. They deal with subjects seldom treated by the modern pulpit. They represent much thought on the part of the Professors, and much kindness on the part of those—generally the most distinguished of our recent graduates—who come from a distance to give us the best product of their reflections on life. And yet there are students who, from no good reason whatever, absent themselves! It is scarcely courteous to the Senate and to those who may be considered our guests. It is not fair to themselves. It is not even just to their fellow-students, for our corporate life is weakened by their indifference.

\* \* \*

A few plain statements regarding the recent trouble in football and athletic circles: All committees appointed by the A.M.S. are responsible to that body for the discharge of those duties for the performance of which they exist, until such time as they are relieved by vote of the society. The football executive is a committee of the A.M.S. appointed for the discharge of certain definite functions. This committee had a grievance against the athletic committee, a body which is equally amenable to the A.M.S. Obviously the honorable course was to protest to the society against the action of the latter committee, and, pending the consideration of this protest, to continue to discharge the duties from which they had not been relieved. But in effect they, and the players also, said that they could not trust the A.M.S. to do justice. They went out on strike