This form is very closely allied to subalbaria, Packard, but in my opinion the two are quite distinct.

They are, however, according to Dr. Dyar,6 confused in the Hulst collection, being there united under the name (not really applicable to either of them) Eois anticaria, Walker.

- 3. If it is admitted that subalbaria, Packard, belongs to the genus Diastictis, and I do not think there can be any doubt about the matter, then the specific name will clash with that of the Diastictis subalbaria, Hulst,7 described from Colorado, and I therefore propose for that species the name Diastictis Hulstiaria. I have seen specimens of this species determined by Hulst himself from Manitoba, and I presume they correctly represent his species. I have similar specimens from Calgary, and I believe that the species listed by Dr. Dyars from Kaslo in error, as Deilinta variolaria, is the same thing.
- Dr. Hulst described still another white Diastictis in his last paper⁹ as Cymatophora virginalis. The description is very short and inadequate, but it would seem to refer to a smaller insect, "expanse 22 mm.," and the few details given do not apply accurately to the specimens I have placed under Hulstiaria. At the same time I must admit that I have received specimens from the Catskill Mountains (Dr. Pearsall), which were said to have been identified by Hulst himself as virginalis, which I cannot separate from those I have spoken of above. It is probable, however, that the study of more abundant material, and a careful examination of Hulst's actual types, may demonstrate that Hulstiaria and virginialis are distinct, though very closely allied.

The species considered in this article should stand in our lists as follows:

Diastictis subalbaria, Pack., non Hulst, California.

- 44 Matilda, Dyar, Col., B. C., Nevada.
- Hulstiaria, Taylor, Col., Manitoba, B. C.
 - = subalbaria, Hulst, non Pack.
- virginalis, Hulst, Atlantic States.

And the reference to subalbaria, Pack., under Eois anticaria, Walker, must be struck out.

^{6.} Proc. Ent. Soc. Wash., Vol. VI, p. 224.

^{7.} Trans. Amer. Ent. Soc., XXIII, p. 333. 8. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., XXVII, p. 905. 9. Jour. New York Ent. Soc., VIII, p. 218,