

Amatite ROOFING Needs No Painting

MOST ready roofings require special painting and coating, and unless this is done regularly, you are sure to have leaks and trouble right along.

If you use Amatite, nothing of the sort is required. You will have real roof protection *without painting* of any kind.

Amatite is made to stay waterproof and give protection year after year, without any thought or care on your part.

First—Because it is waterproofed with Coal Tar Pitch.



Second—Because it has a real Mineral Surface.

Amatite, owing to these features, is the most economical roofing made. Its first cost is low, and you are *saved* all future expense for repairs or paint because it will need neither.

If you haven't seen Amatite, write for a sample to-day. From it you will very quickly understand why it doesn't require painting; why it does not leak; and why it saves you money.

Address nearest office to-day.

The Paterson Manufacturing Co., Ltd.

TORONTO MONTREAL WINNIPEG VANCOUVER



Hardy Fruits

TREES, SHRUBS AND PLANTS
For Manitoba, Saskatchewan
and Alberta Planting

Quick growing Hardy Trees for Wind-breaks and Shelter-Belts. Russian Apples, Crabs, Native Plums, Small Fruits, Seed Potatoes, etc.

Varieties tested and recommended by Western Experimental Stations.

Agents Wanted where we are not represented. Liberal terms and Free Experiment.

Send for circular of Western Specialties and Testimonials.

Stone & Wellington

The Fonthill Nurseries
TORONTO ONTARIO

A Pointer on Profits

A Nest of 20, 30 and 50 lb. White Spruce Butter Tubs weighs 24 lbs.

A Nest of any kind parafined and unsoakable weighs 18 lbs.

A Difference of 6 lbs.

Six lbs. Butter at 25c. lb., \$1.50, or more than the cost of your tubs

BUY ONLY THE WHITE SPRUCE

Remember that your merchant will dock you so much for the weight of your tub, regardless of what it actually weighs. Spruce tubs weigh heavier than any other kind, which prevents your paying for dockage with high priced butter.

Insist on being supplied with White Spruce Butter Tubs

You can easily tell them, because they have no parafine on the inside.

as soon as the stocks were sufficiently low to permit this being done.

The counsel for the company and its officers facilitated the enquiry by producing books and documents upon request.

In view of the explanation offered it did not seem that the evidence was sufficient to enable me to hold that the company had sent in an untrue statement for the purpose of hiding any manipulation of grades.

It is true that in Mr. Gibbs' report there was an apparent shortage or discrepancy of 2 Northern, amounting to 24,164 bushels; of 3 Northern, amounting to 38,051.50 bushels, or a combined total of 62,215.50 bushels. While the over-shipments of 1 Northern were 61,307.30 bushels, which were made up as follows:

Returned by elevator on hand—155,076.30 bushels.

26th of November, 1909, shipment of 1 Northern not reported—80,000 bushels.

Total 1 Northern, which should have been on hand—75,076.30 bushels.

On the other hand, according to Exhibit "30" there were:

1 Northern outstanding storage receipt at the 12th of December, amount to 158,882.50 bushels.

Deducting the amount should have been on hand—75,076.30 bushels and 83,806.20 bushels.

Deducting receipts of 1 Northern for the 11th and 12th December—22,498.30 bushels. A difference of 61,307.50 bushels.

The manager of the elevator accounted for this through loans having been made to the Lake Shippers' Association.

According to Mr. Gibbs' evidence absolute reliance cannot be placed upon his figures showing the various stocks on hand by grade on the 12th December on account of the grain having been measured in the bins and not weighed. Mr. Gibbs also admitted that the measurement might work out showing an error of over 5 per cent. In addition to this it was established that no measurements had been taken of any grain in the bins under the shipping bins as it was impossible to get at them.

However, I did feel that the officers were grossly negligent in sending in this statement, and felt it my duty to lay an information before the public magistrate here for sending an untrue statement. The matter came up before the Hon. T. Mayne Daly, P.M., and he fined the company the sum of \$50.

According to the statement brought down by the company (Exhibit "31") showing the disposition of all 1 Northern wheat both received and shipped from the 27th of August to date it would appear that the total amount of 1 Northern shown by the company to be on hand, is equal to the total outstanding 1 Northern warehouse storage receipts plus an error of 36 bushels and 10 pounds, which at the time of the investigation the company was unable to reconcile.

In view of the action taken by the magistrate and the explanation of the elevator company I consider that further action should be deferred until it is practicable to weigh up the elevator and ascertain to what extent (if any) mixing has been carried on. In the event, however, of it being ascertained by the weigh-up that mixing has been carried on, I would recommend that the company's license be cancelled for twelve months.

THE EMPIRE ELEVATOR CASE.

Information was supplied by the Inspection Department that there were serious discrepancies in the returns of the Empire Elevators Companies' reports as compared with those of the Inspection Department covering the same period. The same action was taken in this matter exactly as in that of the Consolidated Elevator Company. The manager of the elevator at Fort William was called in, but could offer no satisfactory explanation.

Upon my return to Winnipeg, the inspector, Mr. Gibbs, at my request, made a formal complaint in writing, under oath, to enable me to hold an investigation under the provisions of the Manitoba Grain Act. The charge made in his case (as in the other two cases) was that the company had sent in incorrect and untrue statements.

In this case the company was repre-

"Bronchitis."

THE SYMPTOMS ARE

Tightness across the Chest, Sharp Pains and a Difficulty in Breathing, a Secretion of Thick Phlegm, at first white, but later of a greenish or yellowish color coming from the bronchial tubes when coughing, especially the first thing in the morning.

Bronchitis is generally the result of a cold caused by exposure to wet and inclement weather and when neglected will become chronic.

Chronic Bronchitis is one of the most general causes of Consumption. Cure the first symptoms of Bronchitis by the use of Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup.

Miss Martha Bourget, Little Pabos, Que., writes: "Last spring I was very poorly, had a bad cough, sick headache, could not sleep, and was tired all the time. I consulted two doctors, and both told me I had bronchitis, and advised me to give up teaching. I tried almost everything but none of the medicines gave me any relief. One of my friends advised me to try Dr. Wood's Norway Pine Syrup. I had scarcely taken the first bottle when I began to get better and when I had taken the fourth bottle I felt as well as ever, my cough had left me and I could sleep well."

Dr. Wood's is the original Pine Syrup. It is put up in a yellow wrapper, three pine trees the trade mark, and the price 25 cents. There are many imitations of "Dr. Wood's" so be sure you receive the genuine when you ask for it.

Manufactured only by The T. Milburn Co., Limited, Toronto, Ont.

Fistula and Poll Evil

Any person, however inexperienced, can readily cure either disease with

Fleming's

Fistula and Poll Evil Cure

even bad old cases that skilled doctors have abandoned. Easy and simple; no cutting; just a little attention every fifth day—and your money refunded if it ever fails. Cures most cases within thirty days, leaving the horse sound and smooth. All particulars given in

Fleming's Vest-Pocket Veterinary Adviser.

Write us for a free copy. Ninety-six pages, covering more than a hundred veterinary subjects. Durable bound, indexed and illustrated.

FLEMING BROS., Chemists

45 Church St., Toronto, Ontario

sented by Hugh Phillips as counsel, who had acted on the Consolidated Elevator case.

Counsel for the company raised the objection that the charge was not sufficiently definite to enable him to prepare a defence, and Mr. Hudson on behalf of the complainant then amended the charge by defining the dates in which the improper statements had been sent in as the 5th, 12th, 19th and 26th days of November, these dates being sufficient to bring out the discrepancies.

When the investigation was pro-

