## Letters to the Editor

Machinery and Depopulation

DITOR Farm and Dairy: I noticed in your issue of May 23rd that you sak the question: "What do our Folks think of it," in reference to "The Machinery Age." I will endeavor to explain what I think of it.

deavor to explain what I think of it. Machinery is beneficial to accomplish any task that cannot be done by human labor, or where there is a scarcily of labor, but where there is a studence of labor, to displace that labor and drive the labor into other callings that are already overcrowded, lowers the standard of living of the labore in every calling, and in the end must defeat thereft, for if machinery reduces the cost of production it at the same time reduces the ability of the people to buy the article at the reduced price; for the man out off own has but little to buy of out off own has but little to buy of the article at the reduced price; for the man out off own has but little to buy of the property of the man leading the machinery. True, a number are so employed, say in a factory such as Massey-lairris, compared to the number that their machines displace on the farms and in the villages? In proof of this, is not the rural population in all parts of Europa and America fast leaving the country for the etities?

Of bourse I do not think that the making of machinery can ever be stopped, but I do think that machinery is the main reason for the spread of the socialistic ideas. And the time will come when the people will have to own the machinery or get off the earth. I would say to the question, if machinery makes a small losiders off the land, it then becomes a positive curse, and there have been supported in the land, it is the positive curse, and there the in the loss of solidiors from places where the people have been driven off to make room for sheep farming on a large scale.

"Ill fares that land to hastening ills a prey,
Where wealth accumulates and men

decay."

—J. Parkin, Wasing, Ont.

## Farm Drawbacks

DITOR, Farm and Dairy. — It makes me feel very hopeful, to say the least of it, when I read such remarks from Lord Shaughnessy as the following.

"Farming should be made so profitable by educational and financial aid, and the social conditions of rural life should be so improved, that thousands of men with natural inclinations that way will be attracted to agriculture

any, will be attracted to agriculture and will succeed at it."
Who is to give us the finracial sid? If any man more than another is finnacially fleeced, it is the farmer. For instance, if he buys a farm he has to pay high taxes for his money invested. The capitalist can loan the same amount of money and not pay a cent of taxes on it, but instead, he receives high interest on his money. If roads are to be opened and maintained, it comes out of the farmer. If bridges are to be opilit, it comes out of the farmer, while the moneyed man can travel and use the railroads without paying a cent, and the man who decent own a foot of land can do the

If implements are to be bought, we are held up by high prices charged by government-protected manufacturers. Why should they be protected any more than the elements of the second

more than the struggling farmer?
As to educational conditions, our school equipments are only an apology compared to the city outlits. When theliers try for the entrance examinations, do the city children come to the country schools and pay train fare and

high board. No, it is vice versa. When the farmer needs an outfit of clothes, etc., can he go with his load of potatees or hay to the merchant and exchange? No, he is tied up to the speculator or middleman, who takes the llon's share. Talk and write escays on how to keep the boys on the farm? You might as well try to make an impression on the moon by shooting boiled peas at it. The boy says, "No thanks—I won't rise at daylight and do necessary chores, milk cown, seed the same of the company of the control of the company of the country boy says, "Tm too tired to enjon any sport."

Although I am a farmer and a father of them to become a farmer, but to try with all their might to success to try more remunerative occupators. All honer to Lord monerative occupators. When it materializes, you will not see any more essays entitled, "How to keep the boys on this farm,"

—J. M. Munro, Thunder Bay District,

## Mr. Archibald's Figures

DITOR Farm and Dairy,—In Bulletin 21, Mr. B. S. Archbald, Dominion Animal Husbandman, endoavors to discuss "What Profit per Cowt" and by crediting the manure twice, once as "chemical value \$22.60," and again as "humus value \$22.60," and \$20.60, and \$20.60

value of the milk, perhaps more. And \$52.11 for cost of feed for a whole year. It is such statements at these issued breadcast that keep down the price of milk and its pro ducts. Why, it's costing me 45 to 48 cents a day to feed my dairy cows these last six months, and the ration is not as costly and nourishing as it should be. I feed only nine pounds of mixed meal, but that nine pounds costs 31 cents. The balance is made up in costs of roots and hay. Like all other dairymen, I am, of course, working at a loss in labor income, interest and depreciation. How do dairymen exist in working in this way at a loss? Simply by doing the work themselves for nothing, getting no interest on investment, no depreciation allowance, and by growing most of the feed 'instead of purchasing it. In many cases our Experimental Farms are doing more harm than good, and this is a case in point.—J. A. H. Kings Co. P. E. I.

"You don't dissipate, do you?" added the physician sterniy of the little, worried-looking man who was about to take an examination for life insurance. "ou're not a fast liver or anything of that sort, are you?"

The little man hesitated a moment looked a bit frightened and then piped out: "Well, I sometimes chew a little gum."



Your need of a

DE LAVAL
CREAM SEPARATOR

is greater right now than ever before

These are the days of the full milk pail.

But if you are trying to get along without any cream separator, or with an inferior or half-worn-out machine, the more milk you get the more cream you lose.

And no farmer can afford to lose even a little cream when butter-fat is selling at from 40 to 50 cents a pound.

With butter-fat at present prices. and our country begging us to stop waste, "cream slacker" methods of skimming milk must go.

Get a De Laval right away and put all the cream in the cream can.

There is no other cream separator that can compare with the De Laval in clean skimming, capacity, ease of operation, freedom from repairs and durability.

Order your De Laval now and let it begin saving cream for you right away. Remember that a De Laval may be bought for cash or on such liberal terms as to save its own cost. See the local De Laval agedt, or, if you don't know him, write to the nearest De Laval office as below.

## THE DE LAVAL COMPANY, Ltd.

LARGEST MANUFACTURERS OF DARY SUPPLIES IN CANADA Sole manufacturers in Canada of the famous De Laval Cream Separators and ideal Green Feed Silos. Alpha Gas Engines, Alpha Churns and Butter-Workers, Catalogues of any of our lines mailed upon request.

MONTREAL PETERBORO WINNIPEG VANCOUVER 50,000 BRANCHES AND LOCAL AGENCIES THE WORLD OVER

