UNIVERSITY GAZETTE

Vol. X.]

McGILL COLLEGE, MONTREAL, APRIL 13th, 1887.

No. 11.

Unibersity Gazette.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

JEFFREY H. BURLAND, B. Ap. Sc., F.C.S., President, EDWARD P. WILLIAMS, Med. '87, Vice-President, J. F. MAGKIE, B. A., B.C.L., Sec.-Treas. Clis. W. HOARIE, Med. '88, W. A. CAMERON, Arts 87, A. DRUMMOND, Science '88, R. B. HENDERSON, Arts '87, A.

EDITORS.

RALEIGH J. ELLIOT, B.C.L., Editor-in-Chief, J. RALPH MURRAY, B.A., B.C.L. ARTHUR WERL, I. Ap. Sc ALPRED P. MURRAY, Arts '87 W. A. CARLYLE, Science '87. HENRY FRY, LAW '88. JOIN H. BELL, B.A., Med. '88. C. L. WHERLER, B.A., Med. '89.

The University Gazette will be published fortnightly during the College Session.

Rejected communications will not be returned, to which rule no exception can be made. The name of the writer must always accompany a communication.

All communications may be addressed to the Editors, P. O. Box 1290.

CONTENTS: PACE EDITORIALS 143-144 POETRY 145, 153 CONTRIBUTIONS 145-152 McGILL NEWS . 152-153 SOCIETIES 153 PERSONALS BETWEEN THE LECTURES 154 COLLEGE WORLD 154 CORRESPONDENCE .

Subscribers who are in need of back numbers of the Gazette, to complete this year's issue, will be furnished with them if they will communicate with Mr. Mackie, Secretary Board of Directors, P. O. Box 1290. Give numbers wanted and P. O. address.

Editorials.

McGILL'S ANNUAL REPORT AND MR. PAGNUELO'S REPLY.

In our last issue we made some reference to the annual report of McGill University for 1886, and referred especially to the reference therein made to the subject of professional examinations. In the Montreal Gazette, of date 28th March last, there ap

peared a long letter from Mr. Pagnuelo, Q.C., Secretary of the Bar of this Province, in which he criticizes that report. We have not space to follow the learned Secretary through all his letter, but wish to remark upon a few of the points raised.

Mr. Pagnuelo insinuates that Sir William Dawson has endeavoured, ir this report, to rouse Protestant against Roman Catholic with the sordid motive of getting funds for the University, charges him with want of reliable information, and of appealing to Protestant prejudices, and finally takes him to task for his heterodox educational views. Much of Mr. Pagnuelo's letter may be passed over with the simple observation that it is a capital, though, perhaps, illadvised, exhibition of the lawyer's art, but displays little argument worthy either of his position or of the document which he has undertaken to criticize.

The answer that "no university graduate has ever yet been admitted to the study of law without a preliminary examination," is no answer at all to the complaint urged in the report. It is one of the many evidences that Mr. Pagnuelo clearly understands the subject, but evades the point at issue. Protestant schools train men to enter the learned professions; Roman Catholic schools do the same. The council of the Bar now steps in and imposes a programme of examination, drawn up by itself, the result of which is to place Protestant candidates at a great disadvantage. We have already referred to this disadvantage in a previous issue, in the matter of Mathematics and Philosophy. How shall Protestants get over the difficulty? evidently by accepting the Roman Catholic curriculum for preparatory study! In this connection we take the opportunity of denying Mr. Pagnuelo's assertion that the English Protestant examiner on the Board "has recommended our programme." We are well informed when we say that Dr. Howe has done nothing of the kind; but that, on the contrary, he has, on several occasions, written Mr. Pagnuelo himself on matters in connection with this very programme, and has stated his objections to it clearly and forcibly.

"To insinuate that the council of the Bar decides for universities the course of studies adequate for a degree is most mischievous, and as untrue as mischievous," says Mr. Pagnuelo. That the council of the Bar does this, we answer, is quite true, and as mis-