

viewpoint

What reasons do you think Expos lost pennant?

Photographer and interviewer: RICK WIGHTMAN



Louisa McArthur Ed 1
Gary Carter does too many advertisements.



Harry Bohnsack BSc 1
"I don't know anything about baseball."



Dave Smith BA 5
"Bad luck."



Darcy Flynn SD
"They choked."



Tom Henderson BBA 3
"Who are the Expos?"



George Kelly Arts 1
They need more experience in post season play.




Alan Cook CS 2
"They used the same pitchers over and over again. Cromarti never hit."



Dan MacDonald Law 2
"The Dodgers were just lucky. I'm an Expos fan all the way."



Barb Cochran BA 1
"Because they put in Steve Rodgers and he lost it."



Danny McDonald EE 2
"Fanning doesn't know his pitchers."

More on SUB from Bosnitch

Dear Editor:

It is most discouraging to have to speak out on the SUB Renovations again. First of all it must be made clear that:

1. If you are worried about the upkeep, upholstery, chairs or decor in the SUB, they are not affected by the renovations and improvements plan of the SUB board. In fact by voting YES or NO absolutely none of your \$15 will be spent on keeping up the SUB. All of any money paid to the SUB board will be used exclusively for renovations in the form of knocking out walls, ripping up stages, or decreasing the Blue Lounge size.

2. Lounge and sitting areas in the SUB will virtually disappear under the SUB board plan - so, only if you prefer attending SRC meetings or giving private seminars you should vote "yes" -- but, if you want the SUB for the use of the students, then voting NO is your only way to get what you want!

The real issue at hand now is that the referendum question was altered on election day. UNB Secretary Woodfield (without thinking of asking the SRC) decided to change the wording of the question, possibly because he thought

he knew better than the students did! Why did he do it? It seems that David Kay (who, lucky for us, lost in an attempt to get a Board of Governors seat) felt that a legal opinion should be had on the referendum question -- presumably to help the cause of his SUB board friends. We are not accusing either Mr. Woodfield or David Kay of criminal collusion or anything actually illegal, however it is more than clear that any change to the question is absolutely UNCONSTITUTIONAL and should be loudly condemned.

The reason why the CAUSE committee is upset is simple. We are sure that the students of this campus were opposed to the renovations plan, and feel that we won the referendum question (even with the wrong wording). To be fair three things must happen:

1. The referendum must be annulled and made non-binding on the students.

2. Even though the referendum vote should not be considered binding (because of Woodfield's improper action), the result should still be announced! Why? - Because we believe that the vote result was NO and the students should be allowed to know what their decision was. Even

if the vote was YES we strongly favor telling the public because the result of this vote could then be taken into consideration by both sides and the need for a new referendum would be diminished. When every such vote costs our SRC about \$1000 it would seem logical to follow these suggestions.

3. Finally, an extremely strong motion of disapproval should be made by our SRC, condemning the unauthorized meddling of Secretary Woodfield into student affairs!

Sincerely,

John Bosnitch
Chairman of CAUSE
("NO" committee)

P.S. We openly challenge the SRC and SUB board to release the referendum results. If they

really won the vote they should have nothing to worry

about in telling the students the facts!

