

various questions were submitted for the opinion of the Court. These were as follows :—

“(1.) Is the Appeal referred to in the said Memorials and Petitions and asserted thereby, such an Appeal as is admissable by sub-section 3 of section 93 of the British North America Act 1867, or by sub-section 2 of section 22 of the Manitoba Act, 33 Vic. (1870) chapter 3, Canada?

“(2.) Are the grounds set forth in the Petitions and Memorials such as may be the subject of appeal under the authority of the sub-sections above referred to, or either of them?

“(3) Does the decision of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council in the cases of *Barrett v. the City of Winnipeg*, and *Logan v. the City of Winnipeg* dispose of or conclude the application for redress based on the contention that the rights of the Roman Catholic minority which accrued to them after the Union under the Statutes of the Province have been interfered with by the two Statutes of 1890, complained of in the said Petitions and Memorials?

“(4) Does sub-section 3 of section 93 of the British North America Act 1867, apply to Manitoba?

“(5) Has His Excellency the Governor-General in Council power to make the declarations or remedial orders which are asked for in the said Memorials and Petitions, assuming the material facts to be as stated therein, or has His Excellency the Governor-General in Council any other jurisdiction in the premises?

“(6.) Did the Acts of Manitoba relating to Education, passed prior to the session of 1890, confer on or continue to the minority a ‘right or privilege in relation to education’ within the meaning of sub-section 2 of section 22 of the Manitoba Act, or establish a system of separate or dissentient schools “‘within the meaning of sub-section 3 of section 93 of the British North America Act 1867,’ if said section 93 be found to be applicable to Manitoba : and if so did the two Acts of 1890 complained of, or either of