

point that would have to be ordered and specially made, and these have not yet come to hand. I did send over to the committee a copy of everything in type-written form, and that is the best I can do until we get the rest. I have been urging with respect to the rest.

Mr. MONK. Is the printing being done in Ottawa?

Mr. GRAHAM. It is being done by the King's Printer.

Mr. MORIN. Has the government taken any steps towards lifting the ruins of the Quebec bridge out of water?

Mr. GRAHAM. No steps have been taken of that nature, so far as I know.

REFORMS IN THE YUKON.

Mr. R. L. BORDEN. I would like to ask the Prime Minister if certain letters were written to him in the month of May last by the Reverend Dr. Shearer, with respect to certain reforms in the Yukon. I observe they have been alluded to in the public press. Would the right hon. gentleman have any objection to laying them on the table of the House?

Sir WILFRID LAURIER. During the months of May and June, or recently at all events, I received certain letters from Dr. Shearer on behalf of the society he represents. I answered those letters. Anticipating the request of my hon. friend, I have ordered them to be copied, and hope to be able to bring them down to-morrow.

GOVERNMENT BUSINESS.

Mr. R. L. BORDEN. May I ask the Prime Minister whether or not the legislation which he alluded to yesterday, in response to a question from myself, is all the additional legislation that the government intend to introduce at the present session. I asked that question yesterday, but the right hon. gentleman omitted to reply to that particular part of it.

Sir WILFRID LAURIER. I do not know that I mentioned any legislation with regard to the Quebec bridge. Apart from that I do not think we contemplate anything—perhaps some amendments and minor legislation, but nothing of any importance.

Mr. R. L. BORDEN. Nothing of any importance in addition to what was mentioned yesterday except the Quebec bridge.

Sir WILFRID LAURIER. Not that I remember at this moment.

Mr. R. L. BORDEN. Will my hon. friend be good enough to consider that and let us know?

Mr. GRAHAM.

SUPPLY.

House in Committee of Supply.

Railways and Canals—collection of revenue—canals, staff and repairs, \$963,222.

Mr. R. L. BORDEN. Has the minister no explanation of the increase?

Hon. GEO. P. GRAHAM (Minister of Railways and Canals). There is an increase of \$21,000 made up as follows: Made up of the increased cost of labour and materials and extra repairs to the Lachine, Soulanges, Cornwall, Williamsburg, Welland, Rideau, Carillon and St. Peter's canals, St. Andrew's locks and to dredge vessels. As against this there is a decrease of \$23,475.

Mr. MONK. I would like to ask the minister if he will not lay on the table of the House the report of Mr. Boyer, on the Soulanges canal? There was a series of irregularities on that canal and the government saw fit to name a commissioner to make a full investigation. There were complaints against the superintendent of the canal.

Mr. GRAHAM. The overseer.

Mr. MONK. It seems to me that when we are voting Supplies we are entitled to know what the result of that inquiry has been in order to suggest a proper remedy of a public nature. It was held publicly down there and there is no reason why, when the public were admitted to the sittings of the inquiry and everybody in the vicinity, although not as much interested as we are, knows the results, we should not be made conversant with them when we are going to vote Supplies. My hon. friend stated a few days ago, in answer to a similar request I had made, that the government had not yet taken any action with regard to the findings of the commissioners. That should be no obstacle to our becoming acquainted with these findings at this moment. I think my hon. friend will make no objection to laying the report on the table.

Mr. GRAHAM. I see the reasonableness of the request from the standpoint of my hon. friend, but it is a question whether the report of the commission should be laid on the table before we come to a decision upon it. It is not a matter of very great moment in this case. The complaints were not against the superintendent but against the overseer who is practically the foreman over the men under the superintendent. An investigation was held and the findings have been brought in. I tried to get time to go through them thoroughly and I wanted to tell the committee what I intended to do before these Estimates came up but it has been impossible. There is not a sufficient number of hours in the day to do it. The evidence shows, generally speaking, that a good many of the complaints were trivial, some of them more serious, but it was more